## Appendix D: Risk Register

The Risk Register is transmitted as a separate file (Appendix D-Aug 2011 Risk Register.pdf).

Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project PMOC Report – OP 32A, 32C, 32D, 33, 34, 40 October 2011 (FINAL)

|                       |                     | T RISK R                                       |  |  |                             | Legend  | Lo <sup>.</sup><br>(1 | and the second | (2)            | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                     |  |  | it Corridor Project  |                             | Probability   | < 10                  | % 10>  | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%                      | >90%               |
|                       |                     | : August 20                                    |  |  |                             | Cost  | <\$25                 | 50K \$250  | )K><\$1        | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                |                     |  |  |  |                             | Schedule  | $\leq 1 M$            | fths 1>  | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   |                             | Rating  | <=                    | 3  | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=5                      | ).5                |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | A CONTRACTOR OF A           | urrent Notes an<br>Comments                                 | nd                    | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact |             | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |                    |
| 1                     | 90                  | Project Wide                                   | Market                                 | Escalation may be higher than projected.   |                             |   |                       | 1  | 5              | 0           | 2.5                      | 2.5                |
| 10                    | 20.07               | Project Wide                                   | Design                                 | Elevator design criteria presented to the public is unacceptable and results in additional elevators.  |                             |   |                       | 1  | 5              | 1           | 3                        | 3                  |
| 100                   | 10.04               | Airport Guideway                               | Requirements                           | This portion of the alignment crosses<br>over Ceded land which may cause a shift<br>of the alignment.  |                             | ceded land is all public purpose.                           |                       | 2  | 3              | 4           | 7                        | 7                  |
| 101                   | 60.01               | Right of Way                                   | Design                                 | Slight change in alignment could cause<br>changes in required ROW which has not<br>been included in estimate, schedule or<br>EIS. (Depending on changes property<br>needs could increase or decrease.) | Outstanding si<br>complete. | nce design is not   | t                     | 2  | 4              | 3           | 7                        | 7                  |
| 102                   | 40.03               | Airport Stations                               | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Gas station at Lagoon Drive Station<br>entrance may have contaminated<br>material and could result in additional<br>costs.   | Phase I study v             | on of property be<br>will be done whic<br>Phase II study is | h will                | 3  | 1              | 0           | 1.5                      | 1.5                |
| 103                   | 40.03               | Airport Guideway                               | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Discovery of unexploded munitions disrupts construction.   |                             |   |                       | 1  | 2              | 1           | 1.5                      | 1.5                |
| 104                   | 10.04               | Airport Guideway                               | Design                                 | Staging, schedule and cost may be greater than assumed for the Keehi interchange.  |                             |   |                       | 2  | 3              | 0           | 3                        | 3                  |
| 105                   | 40.02               | Airport Guideway                               | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Unforeseen Federal and/or Military<br>cables or fuel lines may result in<br>alignment relocation or costly column<br>span.   |                             | N.  |                       | 2  | 3              | 2           | 5                        | 5                  |

.

|                       |                     | CT RISK R<br>High-Capac                        |  | ER<br>it Corridor Project   | Legend<br>Probability                    |      | Low<br>(1)<br><10% |                  | fed<br>(2)<br><50% | High<br>(3)         | Very High<br>(4)  | Significant<br>(5)  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|--|------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|
| Date                  | lssue               | : August 20                                    | 11                                     |   | Cost                                     | -    | \$250K             |                  |                    | > 50%<br>\$1M><\$3M | 75%<br>\$3M><\$10 | >90%  |
| Rev. (                |                     |  |  |   | Schedule                                 |      | 1 Mths             |                  | 3 Mths             | 3><6 Mths           | 6><12 Mths        | >\$10M<br>> 12 Mths   |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating                                   |      | <=3                |                  | 3.1-9              |                     | >=                | and the second se |
| Current<br>ID         | 1                   | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments        | nd   |                    | ability<br>ating | Cost<br>Impact     |                     |                   |   |
| 106                   | 10.04               | Airport Guideway                               | Requirements                           | The guideway has a high skew with<br>respect to the roads in the area of the<br>inter island terminal parking access ramp<br>and the Paiea underpass connecting with<br>Aolele which may require special<br>structures. |  |      | · ·                | 1                | 1                  | 0                   | 0.5               | 0.5   |
| 107                   | 10.08               | Airport Guideway                               | Construction                           | Segment routes may suffer settlement<br>and general damage (including utilities)<br>to surface due to excessive loads and<br>require replacement and or re-surfacing.   |  |      |                    | 2                | 2                  | 0                   | 2                 | 2   |
| 108                   | 10.04               | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Requirements                           | Alignment passes near a Federal building,<br>which may raise homeland security<br>concerns and results in additional design<br>and cost.  | outstanding. Environ/Safety gr           | roup |                    | 5                | 2                  | 0                   | 5                 | 5   |
| 109                   | 60.01               | Right of Way                                   | Design                                 | Slight change in alignment could cause<br>changes in required ROW, which has not<br>been included in estimate, schedule, or<br>EIS. (Depending on changes, property<br>needs could increase or decrease).               | Outstanding since design is no complete. | ot   |                    | 3                | 4                  | 2                   | 9                 | 9   |
| 11                    | 40.02               | Project Wide                                   | тсс                                    | There may be insufficient utility company<br>resources available to meet the design,<br>approvals, and/or construction schedule.<br>(Public Utilities - water, sewer, storm<br>drain)                                   |  |      |                    | 3                | 3                  | 2                   | 7.5               | 7.5   |
| 110                   | 60.01               | Right of Way                                   | Design                                 | Kaka'ako Station currently requires<br>partial demolition which has yet to be<br>discussed with owner and may result in<br>additional costs and delays.   | Outstanding since design is no complete. | t    |                    | 2                | 3                  | 0                   | 3                 | 3   |
| 111                   | 40.03               | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Nimitz Highway (1 mile) known to be<br>contaminated from old fuel line leaks<br>and utility excavations may lead to<br>significant volumes of excavated soil.   |  |      |                    | 5                | 3                  | 0                   | 7.5               | 7.5   |

| Hono                  | lulu H                 |   | city Trans                                | ER<br>it Corridor Project   | Legend<br>Probability  | Lo<br>(1<br><10          | )                    | Med<br>(2)<br><50% | High<br>(3)<br>> 50%  | Very High<br>(4)<br>75% | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90% |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|
|                       |                        | August 20                                 | )11                                       |   | Cost   | < \$2                    |                      |                    | SIM> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10              | >\$10M                     |
| Rev.                  |                        |   |   |   | Schedule   | <1 M                     |                      | 3 Mths             | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths              | >12 Mths                   |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as r | risks are evaluate<br>epetition are actua | d both at the Proj<br>uly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <=                       | -3                   | 3.1-9              | 9.49  | >=5                     | 9.5                        |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code            | Contract<br>Package                       | FTA Risk<br>Category                      | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd                       | Probabilit<br>Rating | y Cost<br>Impact   |   |                         |                            |
| 112                   | 40.04                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | NEPA                                      | If numerous iwi are found constituting a<br>burial ground, the location could be<br>eligible for inclusion in the National<br>Register of Historic Places, which could<br>require realignment of guideway.                          |  |                          | 1                    | 5                  | 5   | 5                       | 5                          |
| 113                   | 40.02                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | Requirements                              | Halekauwila Street has very limited<br>space, and if additional relocation is<br>identified from what is currently<br>planned, either rerouting or additional<br>ROW may be required.   |  |                          | 2                    | 3                  | 4   | 7                       | 7                          |
| 114                   | 40.02                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | Design                                    | Fuel line at proposed alignment on<br>Nimitz Highway may require alternative<br>design solution.  |  |                          | 2                    | 1                  | 2   | 3                       | 3                          |
| 115                   | 40.02                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | Geotech/Early<br>Const                    | Unforeseen Federal and/or Military<br>cables or fuel lines may result in<br>alignment relocation or costly column<br>span.  |  |                          | 2                    | 3                  | 4   | 7                       | 7                          |
| 116                   | 40.02                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | Design                                    | Assumption is water mains will be<br>relocated around columns by addition of<br>bends; this may not be allowed by BWS.  | Quantity of impacts will not be<br>known until final design. City<br>standard is 5' and BWS is 10'. T<br>limited space available to reloc<br>utilities as expected by BWS an<br>there will most likely need to b<br>some negotiations. | here is<br>ate all<br>nd | 5                    | 3                  | 2   | 12.5                    | 12.5                       |
| 117                   | 40.02                  | City Center<br>Guideway                   | Design                                    | The relocation of the 138 kv overhead<br>power lines may require new lines<br>erected to provide redundancy during<br>the 'outage.' (Temporary diversion of the<br>138kV line may be required if grid<br>capacity is insufficient.) | 138kV issue will not be reviewe<br>CC Final Designer is on board.  | ed until                 | 3                    | 4                  | 1   | 7.5                     | 7.5                        |

|                       |             | T RISK R  |  |   | Legend                              | Low<br>(1) | Same and the second second | (2)              | High<br>(3)               | Very High<br>(4)                           | Significant<br>(5)   |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------|
|                       |             |   |  | it Corridor Project   | Probability                         | <10%       | 10>                        | <50%             | > 50%                     | 75%  | >90%                 |
|                       |             | : August 20                                     |  |   | Cost                                | < \$2501   | C \$250                    | K><\$1           | \$1M><\$3M                | S3M> <s10< th=""><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s10<> | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (                |             |   |  |   | Schedule                            | <1 Mth     | s 1><                      | 3 Mths           | 3><6 Mths                 | 6><12 Mths                                 | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | seem as     | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating                              | <=3        | -                          | 3.1-9            | .49                       | >=9  | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd P       | robability<br>Rating       | Cost<br>Impact ( | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2                   | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| 118                   | 10.08       | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Construction                           | Segment routes may suffer settlement<br>and general damage (including utilities)<br>to surface due to excessive construction<br>equipment loads and require<br>replacement and or re-surfacing. |                                     |            | 5                          | 4                | 0                         | 10   | 10                   |
| 119                   | 40.08       | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Construction                           | Access to Honolulu Community College<br>may be restricted by construction and<br>noise levels may need to be mitigated<br>while school is in session.   |                                     |            | 3                          | 2                | 0                         | 3  | 3                    |
| 11a                   | 40.02       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Design                                 | There may be insufficient Utility<br>company resources available to meet the<br>design, approvals, and/or construction<br>schedule. (Public Utilities - water, sewer,<br>storm drain)           |                                     |            | 3                          | 2                | 2                         | 6  | 6                    |
| 11b                   | 40.02       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Design                                 | There may be insufficient Utility<br>company resources available to meet the<br>design, approvals and/or construction<br>schedule. (Public Utilities - water, sewer,<br>storm drain)            |                                     |            | 3                          | 2                | 2                         | 6  | 6                    |
| 11d                   | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                                | тсс                                    | There may be insufficient utility company<br>resources available to meet the design,<br>approvals, and/or construction schedule.<br>(Public Utilities - water, sewer, storm<br>drain)           | construction. BWS also does no      | ot have    | 3                          | 3                | 2                         | 7.5  |                      |
| 11e                   | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                         | TCC                                    | There may be insufficient utility company<br>resources available to meet the design,<br>approvals, and/or construction schedule.<br>(Public Utilities - water, sewer, storm<br>drain)           | construction. BWS also does no      | ot have    | 3                          | 3                | 2                         | 7.5  |                      |
| 12                    | 40.02       | Project Wide                                    | тсс                                    | More fiber optic cable lines than<br>estimated may need to be relocated<br>(number and type of cables in ducts to<br>be relocated not known).   | No more information available time. | at this    | 4                          | 3                | 2                         | 10   | 10                   |

|               |             | T RISK H                                   |   |  | Legend   | Lov<br>(1) | a the second | (1ed<br>(2)    | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4)                           | Significan<br>(5)    |
|---------------|-------------|--|---|--|--|------------|--|----------------|-------------|--|----------------------|
|               |             | ngn-Capac                                  | ny irans                                  | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | <10        | % 10>  | <50%           | >50%        | 75%  | >90%                 |
|               |             | August 20                                  |   |  | Cost   | < \$25     | 0K \$250   | K><\$1         | \$1M><\$3M  | S3M> <s10< th=""><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s10<> | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (        |             |  |   |  | Schedule   | <1 M       | iths 1><   | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths                                 | >12 Mths             |
| what may      | seem as re  | risks are evaluated<br>epetition are actua | l both at the Proj<br>lly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <=         | 3  | 3.1-9          | 0.49        | >=\$                                       | 5                    |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                        | FTA Risk<br>Category                      | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | ıd         | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact |             | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2                   | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| 120           | 20.02       | City Center<br>Stations                    | Requirements                              | Redesign of station access for Downtown<br>Station may be required due to<br>objections.   |  |            | 1  | 3              | 3           | 3  | 3                    |
| 121           | 40.08       | City Center<br>Guideway                    | Requirements                              | This area contains a major bus interface<br>and access to the parking structure of Ala<br>Moana Center. Traffic impacts must be<br>mitigated, and bus operations must be<br>continued. |  |            | 5  | 3              | 0           | 7.5  | 7.5                  |
| 122           | 60.01       | Right of Way                               | Design                                    | Kapalama Entrance may be a concern<br>due to proximity to adjacent ROW.  | Outstanding since design is not complete.  | t          | 3  | 1              | 2           | 4.5  | 4.5                  |
| 123           | 60.01       | Right of Way                               | Design                                    | Ala Moana Center Station has ROW<br>issues that have yet to be discussed with<br>owner and may result in additional costs<br>and delays.   | Do not have everything finalize<br>the location and design of the<br>Moana station.  |            | 5  | 3              | 0           | 7.5  | 7.5                  |
| 124           | 40.04       | City Center<br>Stations                    | Requirements                              | Given that Downtown Station is in a<br>historic district, community needs may<br>cause additional costs and possible<br>delays.  |  |            | 2  | 2              | 0           | 2  | 2                    |
| 125           | 40.04       | City Center<br>Stations                    | Requirements                              | Given that Chinatown Station is in a<br>historic district, community needs may<br>cause additional costs and possible<br>delays.   |  |            | 2  | 2              | 0           | 2  | 2                    |
| 126           | 60.01       | Right of Way                               | Requirements                              | Properties at Pearl Highlands Station and<br>Guideway may be more difficult than<br>currently assumed, increasing costs and<br>ROW schedule. (Banana Patch)                            | All offers for the properties at I<br>Highlands have been accepted,<br>except for 1. Relocation is also<br>currently going along well. |            | 3  | 3              | 0           | 4,5  | 4.5                  |
| 127           | 60.01       | Right of Way                               | Requirements                              | May need to buy property for Park and<br>Ride at UH West Oahu.   | Still outstanding.   |            | 5  | 3              | 0           | 7.5  | 7.5                  |

|          |             | T RISK R                                       |                        |  |   | Legend                       | Lo.<br>(1 | Contraction of the local division of the loc | fed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|----------|-------------|--|------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|-----------|--|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|          |             |  |                        | it Corridor Project  |   | Probability                  | <10       |  | <50%           | >50%        | 75%              | >90%               |
|          |             | : August 20                                    |                        |  |   | Cost                         | < \$25    |  |                | \$1M><\$3M  | S3M><\$10        | >\$10M             |
| Rev. 6   |             | a risks are avaluated                          | both at the Droi       | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   |   | Schedule                     | <1 M      | and the second se  | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| what may | seem as     | repetition are actual                          | ly risks as applic     | able to each contract.   |   | Rating                       | <=        | 3  | 3.1-9          | 0.49        | >=9              | .5                 |
| Current  | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description   |   | irrent Notes ai<br>Comments  | nd        | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| 128      | 60.01       | Right of Way                                   | Requirements           | Property required at UH currently<br>assumes donation. However, there is a<br>possibility that UH may require property<br>to be bought.  | Still outstandi                                     | ng.                          |           | 2  | 3              | 0           | 3                | 3                  |
| 129      | 20.02       | Right of Way                                   | Design                 | Currently designed realignment of<br>easement at West Loch Station has not<br>been accepted by adjacent property<br>owners and could result in design delays<br>if unaccepted. | This property<br>of July. Risk is                   | was acquired at removed.     | the end   |  |                |             |                  | 1.5                |
| 12a      | 40.02       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design                 | More fiber optic cable lines (or other<br>overhead lines) than estimated may be<br>need to be relocated (number and type<br>of cables in ducts to be relocated not<br>known).  | WOFH has a c<br>now for fiber                       | hange order in ri<br>optics. | ght       | 4  | 3              | 1           | 8                | 8                  |
| 12b      | 40.02       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Design                 | More fiber optic cable lines than<br>estimated may need to be relocated<br>(number and type of cables in ducts to<br>be relocated not known).                                  |   |                              |           | 2  | 3              | 0           | 3                | 3                  |
| 12d      | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                               | Design                 | More fiber optic cable lines than<br>estimated may need to be relocated<br>(number and type of cables in ducts to<br>be relocated not known).                                  | Utility contrac<br>are separate f<br>construction c |                              | d CC      | 3  | 3              | 1           | 6                |                    |
| 12e      | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Design                 | More fiber optic cable lines than<br>estimated may need to be relocated<br>(number and type of cables in ducts to<br>be relocated not known).                                  | Utility contrac<br>are separate f<br>construction c |                              | d CC      | 4  | 3              | 1           | 8                |                    |
| 13       | 40.02       | Project Wide                                   | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.  |   |                              |           | 4  | 4              | 1           | 10               | 10                 |

|               |             | T RISK R<br>High-Canac                |                        | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend   | Lo<br>(J | ŋ (                   | fed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|               |             | : August 20                           |                        | il connaor rroject   | Probability  | <10      |                       | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. (        |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                        |  | Cost   | < \$2    |                       |                | \$1M><\$3M  |                  | >\$10M             |
|               |             | e risks are evaluated                 | both at the Proj       | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,  | Schedule   | <11      |                       | 3 Mths         | 3×6 Mths    | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual                 | ly risks as applic     | able to each contract.   | Rating   |          | =3                    | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=               | 9.5                |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                   | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | hd       | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| 130           | 60.01       | Right of Way                          | Design                 | Relocation of business at W. Loch Station<br>may take longer than anticipated.<br>(Farrington Stations Group)  | Have made an offer at a substi<br>property for the business at W<br>Station. |          | 1                     | 2 .            | 3           | 2.5              | 2.5                |
| 131           | 40.04       | Kamehameha<br>Highway Stations        | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Extensive rain could, because of<br>potential flooding of the work site, affect<br>construction schedule at the Pearl<br>Highlands Station area.                                     |  |          | 2                     | 2              | 1           | 3                | 3                  |
| 132           | 40.04       | West Oahu<br>Stations                 | Design                 | Natural drainage at Ho'opili Station may<br>need to be addressed by project if DR<br>Horton development does not do it,<br>which would result in additional costs to<br>the project. |  |          | 5                     | 1              | 0           | 2.5              | 2.5                |
| 133           | 20.02       | West Oahu<br>Stations                 | Design                 | East Kapolei Station design could change,<br>based on hydraulic and geotech study,<br>and additional costs may be incurred.  |  |          | 2                     | 3              | 1           | 4                | 4                  |
| 134           | 20.02       | Farrington<br>Highway Stations        | Design                 | Waipahu Station is located in the<br>floodplain and the design has yet to be<br>approved by DPP, which could result in a<br>delay due to redesign.                                   |  |          | 5                     | 2              | 1           | 7.5              | 7.5                |
| 135           | 20.02       | West Oahu<br>Stations                 | Design                 | UH West Oahu Station design could<br>change, based on hydraulic and geotech<br>study, and additional costs may be<br>incurred.   |  |          | 2                     | 3              | 1           | 4                | 4                  |
| 136           | 20.02       | Farrington<br>Highway Stations        | Design                 | Systems interfaces at Farrington stations<br>may result in claims delay by Station<br>designer.  |  |          | 4                     | 1              | 2           | 6                | 6                  |

|                        |                     | T RISK R                                       |  |   | Legend  | Lo<br>(1                   | and the second second | Med<br>(2)       | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significan<br>(5)    |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|
|                        |                     |  |  | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | < 10                       | % 10                  | ~50%             | >50%        | 75%              | >90%                 |
|                        |                     | : August 20                                    |  |   | Cost  | < \$2                      | 50K \$25              | 0K><\$1          | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (                 |                     |  |  |   | Schedule  | <1 M                       | fths 1>               | <3 Mths          | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating  | <=                         | 3                     | 3.1-9            | 9.49        | >=9              | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID          | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd                         | Probabilit<br>Rating  | y Cost<br>Impact |             | C'               | Prior Risl<br>Rating |
| 137                    | 20.02               | West Oahu<br>Stations                          | Requirements                           | Current assumption is that developer<br>adjacent to UH West O'ahu Station will<br>build a roadway bridge and road to<br>access the parking lot and bus transfer<br>facility. If they do not build this, it will<br>result in additional costs to project. |   |                            | 3                     | 4                | 0           | 6                | 6                    |
| 138                    | 50                  | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Market                                 | Core Systems Contract may require rebid<br>based on DCCA's decision, which is<br>expected by mid August 2011.   | Risk has been deleted. Protest<br>denied by the City, which resu<br>the contractor's appealing to D<br>DCCA denied the appeals subn<br>by both Bombardier (Aug. 5) a<br>Sumitomo (Aug. 15).             | Ited in<br>DCCA.<br>nitted |                       |                  |             |                  | 5                    |
| 139                    | 40.04               | Project wide                                   | NEPA                                   | AIS may delay City Center Guideway and<br>ultimately project completion.  |   |                            | 2                     | 4                | 3           | 7                | 7                    |
| 139a                   | 40.04               | Airport Guideway                               | NEPA                                   | AIS may delay start of guideway<br>construction and result in additional<br>costs and schedule delays.  | Duration for the AIS of the Air<br>section is less than a year and<br>on the critical path. Section is<br>than the City Center section ar<br>is not expected to impact Airpor<br>guideway construction. | is not<br>easier<br>nd AIS | 2                     | 3                | 2           | 5                |                      |
| 13a                    | 40.02               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.   |   |                            | 3                     | 3                | 1           | 6                | 6                    |
| 13b                    | 40.02               | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.   | Nothing has been identified at<br>time. Cost has been reduced to<br>than \$250k and schedule impa<br>months.  | o less                     | 1                     | 1                | 0           | 0.5              | 1.5                  |
| 13c                    | 40.02               | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.   |   |                            | 3                     | 3                | 1           | 6                | 6                    |

|               |             | T RISK R                |                        | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend  | Low<br>(1)                            | Med<br>(2)                | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Data          |             | : August 20             | ty Frans               | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | <10%                                  | 10><50%                   |             | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. (        |             | : August 20             |                        |  | Cost  | < \$250K                              | \$250K><\$1               |             | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
|               |             | a ricks are evoluated   | both at the Due:       | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   | Schedule  | <1 Mths                               | 1><3 Mths                 | 3>>6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | $\geq$ 12 Mths     |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actuall  | y risks as applic      | able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=3                                   | 3.]                       | -9.49       | >=9              | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package     | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   |                                       | bability Co<br>ating Impa |             |                  |                    |
| 13d           | 40.02       | Airport Guideway        | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.  |   |                                       | 4 3                       | 1           | 8                |                    |
| 13e           | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Old electrical and other utilities may<br>contain asbestos which will require<br>HAZMAT disposal.  |   |                                       | 4 3                       | 1           | 8                |                    |
| 14            | 40.02       | Project Wide            | Construction           | IF HDOT Use and Occupancy Agreement<br>with utility owners is needed, it could<br>delay utility relocations in the state<br>ROW.   |   |                                       | 2 3                       | 3           | 6                | 6                  |
| 140           | 90          | Project wide            | Market                 | Based on a recently passed bill, GET<br>exemptions would be suspended and<br>result in additional tax payments by<br>contractors which have not been<br>accounted for in estimate. | Suspension of extensions would<br>from Jan. 1, 2012 to June 30, 20<br>Based on review, city lawyers b<br>if a contract was executed, sign<br>awarded by July 1, 2011, then t<br>basic contract and any changes<br>that contract are grandfathered | 015.<br>velieve<br>ved or<br>he<br>to | 5 5                       | 0           | 12.5             | 12.5               |
| 140d          | 90          | Airport Guideway        | Market                 | Based on a recently passed bill, GET<br>exemptions would be suspended and<br>result in additional tax payments by<br>contractors which have not been<br>accounted for in estimate. |   |                                       | 5 5                       | 0           | 12.5             |                    |
| 140e          | 90          | City Center<br>Guideway | Market                 | Based on a recently passed bill, GET<br>exemptions would be suspended and<br>result in additional tax payments by<br>contractors which have not been<br>accounted for in estimate. |   |                                       | 5 5                       | 0           | 12.5             |                    |
| 141           | 50          | Project Wide            | Design                 | Fixed facilities contracts incur additional design costs due to NTP delay for CSC.   |   |                                       | 2 3                       | 2           | 5                | 5                  |

| PROJECT RISK REGISTER  | Legend      | Low<br>(1) | Med<br>(2)  | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project  | Probability | <10%       | 10><50%     | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
| Date Issue: August 2011  | Cost        | <\$250K    | \$250K><\$1 | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. 6   | Schedule    | <1 Mths    | 1><3 Mths   | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Project Wide risks are evaluated both at the Project Wide level and by contract. Therefore, what may seem as repetition are actually risks as applicable to each contract. | Rating      | <=3        | 3.1-        | 9.49        | >=               | 9.5                |

| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes and<br>Comments   | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact (A) | Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
|---------------|-------------|--|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 142           | 10.04       | Project Wide                                   | Design               | Pedestrian bridge clearance over HDOT<br>ROW may need to be raised to meet<br>HDOT minimum requirements (17.5')<br>which would result in additional costs<br>due to redesign of either the pedestrian<br>bridge or guideway. | Change Control Board approved a process forward on July 19, 2011.  | 4                     | 3                  | 1                     | 8                        | 8                    |
| 142a          | 10.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design               | Pedestrian bridge clearance over HDOT<br>ROW may need to be raised to meet<br>HDOT minimum requirements (17.5')<br>which would result in additional costs<br>due to redesign of either the pedestrian<br>bridge or guideway. |  | 4                     | 3                  | 1                     | 8                        | 8                    |
| 142b          | 10.04       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Design               | Pedestrian bridge clearance over HDOT<br>ROW may need to be raised to meet<br>HDOT minimum requirements (17.5')<br>which would result in additional costs<br>due to redesign of either the pedestrian<br>bridge or guideway. | Only location that will require<br>redesign is at Pearl Ridge. Currently<br>reviewing design to determine what<br>is needed.   | 4                     | 2                  | 1                     | 6                        | 6                    |
| 143           | 60.01       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Requirements         | Inability to receive all required consents<br>to enter to do archaeological<br>investigation of interior buildings may<br>cause delays to AIS.   | AIS for WOFH is done. AIS for KHG is<br>complete. Issue in City Center is the<br>numerous investigations that must be<br>done in buildings, which require<br>consent by the owner. If owner says<br>no, will need to go to SHPD for an<br>answer as to what to do. Currently<br>mitigating the issue by working to<br>acquire 6 properties that require AIS<br>in interior building. | 2                     | 2                  | 2                     | 4                        | 4                    |
| 144           | 90          | Project Wide                                   | Construction         | Unforeseen special events not listed in<br>SPs may cause delays to construction or<br>add MOW costs.   | Upcoming event to be an issue would<br>be APEC, which may result in limited<br>construction activity due to security.  | 2                     | 3                  | 1                     | 4                        |                      |

|                   |                   | T RISK R                                    |  |  |  | Legend   | Lo<br>(1                                      | Contraction of the second | Ied<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                   |                   |   | No. of the second second second second | it Corridor Project  |  | Probability  | < 10  |                           | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
| The second second |                   | : August 20                                 |  |  | and and the second second  | Cost   | < \$2   | 50K \$250                 | K><\$1         | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev.              | 2 Section Section |   |  |  |  | Schedule   | <1 M  | Iths 1><                  | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | $\geq$ 12 Mths     |
| what may          | seem as           | e risks are evaluated repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract, Therefore, able to each contract.  |  | Rating   | <=  | 3                         | 3.1-           | 9.49        | >=9              | 9.5                |
| Current<br>ID     | SCC<br>Code       | Contract<br>Package                         | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   |  | rrent Notes ai<br>comments   | nd  | Probability<br>Rating     | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| 145               | 90                | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway           | Design                                 | Delay to issue NTP results in claims for<br>additional costs.  | it in July. Curre<br>of milestones<br>there is one st<br>that is a conce<br>accelerated so | was April and re<br>ently awaiting so<br>from Kiewit. Cur<br>ation interface o<br>rn and may requi<br>hedule or differ<br>thod which wou | chedule<br>rrently<br>date<br>uire an<br>rent | 4                         | 2              | 2           | 8                |                    |
| 14a               | 40.02             | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway          | Construction                           | IF HDOT Use and Occupancy Agreement<br>with utility owners is needed, it could<br>delay utility relocations in the state<br>ROW.   |  |  |   | 2                         | 2              | 2           | 4                | 4                  |
| 14b               | 40.02             | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway           | Construction                           | IF HDOT Use and Occupancy Agreement<br>with utility owners is needed, it could<br>delay utility relocations in the state<br>ROW.   |  |  |   | 1                         | 2              | 1           | 1.5              | 1.5                |
| 14d               | 40.02             | Airport Guideway                            | Construction                           | IF HDOT Use and Occupancy Agreement<br>with utility owners is needed, it could<br>delay utility relocations in the state<br>ROW.   |  | nd KHG are com<br>reduced forAirp<br>r sections.   |   | 2                         | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 14e               | 40.02             | City Center<br>Guideway                     | Construction                           | IF HDOT Use and Occupancy Agreement<br>with utility owners is needed, it could<br>delay utility relocations in the state<br>ROW.   |  | nd KHG are com<br>reduced forAirp<br>r sections.   |   | 2                         | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 15                | 40.02             | Project Wide                                | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | The Contractor may sever one or more<br>utilities during construction resulting in a<br>stoppage of work and impacting not only<br>itself, but other concurrent contractors. | due to the pre-  |  | ures  | 2                         | 2              | 1           | 3                | 4.5                |
| 15d               | 40.02             | Airport Guideway                            | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | The Contractor may sever one or more<br>utilities during construction resulting in a<br>stoppage of work and impacting not only<br>itself, but other concurrent contractors. | to start of digg   |  | ces the                                       | 2                         | 2              | 1           | 3                |                    |

|                       |                    | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend  |                                    | Low<br>(1)                 |                  | led<br>2)      | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5)   |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------|
|                       |                    |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probabilit  | v                                  | <10%                       | 10>              | <50%           | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%                 |
|                       |                    | : August 201                                    |                                       |  | Cost  |                                    | <\$250K                    | \$250            | K><\$1         | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>S3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<>  | S3M><\$10        | >\$10M               |
| Rev. 6                |                    |   |                                       |  | Schedule  | 3                                  | <1 Mths                    | 1>3              | Mths           | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ect Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  |                                    | <=3                        |                  | 3.1-9          | 0.49  | >=9              | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code        | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | <b>Risk Description</b>  | Most Current Notes<br>Comments  | and                                |                            | ability<br>ating | Cost<br>Impact | the second se |                  | Prior Risl<br>Rating |
| 15e                   | 40.02              | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Geotech/Early<br>Const                | The Contractor may sever one or more<br>utilities during construction resulting in a<br>stoppage of work and impacting not only<br>itself, but other concurrent contractors. | Contractors need to do one<br>to start of digging, which rea<br>probability of the risk occurr  | duces                              | 0.016101                   | 2                | 2              | 1   | 3                |                      |
| 16                    | 40.02              | Project Wide                                    | Requirements                          | Agreements with all utility owners are<br>not yet in place, and subsequent<br>agreements may expose the City to<br>unforeseen costs and schedule impacts.                    | As process goes on with WC<br>agreements should become<br>obtain for other sections. HI<br>most critical in getting an ag<br>WOFH is still working to get<br>agreement in place for them<br>HECO's work.        | easie<br>ECO is<br>greem<br>an     | s the<br>nent.             | 3                | 4              | 3   | 10.5             | 10.5                 |
| 16a                   | 40.02              | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Requirements                          | Agreements with all utility owners are<br>not yet in place, and subsequent<br>agreements may expose the City to<br>unforeseen costs and schedule impacts.                    | Have most agreements for c<br>The only agreements receive<br>construction are with the ga<br>lines at WOFH. The relocatic<br>these started mid-August. The<br>total of 9 different companie<br>coordinate with. | ed for<br>is and<br>ons fo<br>here | r<br>d fuel<br>or<br>are a | 4                | 3              | 2   | 10               | 10                   |
| 16c                   | 40.02              | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Requirements                          | Agreements with all utility owners are<br>not yet in place, and subsequent<br>agreements may expose the City to<br>unforeseen costs and schedule impacts.                    |   |                                    |                            | 2                | 3              | 2   | 5                | 5                    |
| 16d                   | 40.02              | Airport Guideway                                | Requirements                          | Agreements with all utility owners are<br>not yet in place, and subsequent<br>agreements may expose the City to<br>unforeseen costs and schedule impacts.                    |   |                                    |                            | 2                | 2              | 2   | 4                |                      |
| 16e                   | 40.02              | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Requirements                          | Agreements with all utility owners are<br>not yet in place, and subsequent<br>agreements may expose the City to<br>unforeseen costs and schedule impacts.                    |   |                                    |                            | 2                | 2              | 2   | 4                |                      |
| 17                    | 40.02              | Project Wide                                    | Requirements                          | Current assumption that new utilities can<br>be carried in, along, under existing bridge<br>structures may not be allowed.   |   |                                    |                            | 1                | 3              | 0   | 1.5              | 1.5                  |

Page 12 of 50

|               |             | T RISK R                                       |                      | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend   | Lo<br>(J | l)                    | (2)            | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|--|----------------------|--|--|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|               |             |  |                      | n Corridor Project   | Probability  | <1(      |                       | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
|               |             | : August 20                                    |                      |  | Cost   | < \$2    |                       |                | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (        |             | e viele and evaluated                          | half the D           |  | Schedule   | <11      | Iths 1>               | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual                          | ly risks as applic   | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <:       | =3                    | 3.1-9          | 0.49        | >=5              | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd       | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| 17b           | 40.02       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Requirements         | Current assumption that new utilities car<br>be carried in, along, under existing bridge<br>structures may not be allowed. |  | 0.4      | 1                     | 3              | 0           | 1.5              | 1.5                |
| 17d           | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                               | Requirements         | Current assumption that new utilities car<br>be carried in, along, under existing bridge<br>structures may not be allowed. |  |          | 1                     | 2              | 0           | 1                |                    |
| 17e           | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Requirements         | Current assumption that new utilities car<br>be carried in, along, under existing bridge<br>structures may not be allowed. |  |          | 1                     | 2              | 0           | 1                |                    |
| 18            | 40.02       | Project Wide                                   | Requirements         | Ongoing/upcoming city and or state<br>projects may require modifications to<br>utility relocation designs.                 | Widening of Farrington Highw<br>currently being planned.   | ay is    | 3                     | 3              | 2           | 7.5              | 7.5                |
| 18a           | 40.02       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Requirements         | Ongoing/upcoming city and or state<br>projects may require modifications to<br>utility relocation designs.                 | Widening of Farrington Highw<br>currently being planned and w<br>likely require additional Projec<br>ordination. | ill most | 4                     | 2              | 2           | 8                | 8                  |
| 18d           | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                               | Requirements         | Ongoing/upcoming city and or state projects may require modifications to utility relocation designs.                       | Airport FD to be complete by e<br>2013.  | early    | 2                     | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 18e           | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Requirements         | Ongoing/upcoming city and or state<br>projects may require modifications to<br>utility relocation designs.                 | Start of CC design is still a year   | r out.   | 2                     | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 1a            | 90          | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Market               | Escalation may be higher than projected.   |  | κ        | 3                     | 4              | 0           | 6                | 6                  |

|                       |                      | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend  | Low<br>(1) | 10000                | fed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                      |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | <10%       | 6 10>                | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
|                       |                      | : August 201                                    |                                       |  | Cost  | < \$250    | K \$250              | K><\$1         | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                |                      |   |                                       |  | Schedule  | <1 Mt      | ns 1×                | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | > 12 Mths          |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=3        |                      | 3.1-9          | 0.49        | >=9              | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code          | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | d F        | robability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| 1b                    | 90                   | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Market                                | Escalation may be higher than projected Steel, Concrete and Asphalt.   |   |            | 3                    | 4              | 0           | 6                | 6                  |
| 1d                    | 90                   | Airport Guideway                                | Market                                | Escalation may be higher than projected.   | Risk subdivided from Project wi<br>and scored at contract level.  | ide        | 1                    | 5              | 0           | 2.5              |                    |
| 1e                    | 90                   | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Market                                | Escalation may be higher than projected.   | Risk subdivided from Project wi<br>and scored at contract level.  | de         | 1                    | 5              | 0           | 2.5              |                    |
| 2                     | 10.04                | Project Wide                                    | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>resources could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>relocate columns and foundations. |   |            | . 1                  | 5              | 4           | 4.5              | 4.5                |
| 21                    | 40.02                | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | The traffic management plan approval may compromise the utility relocation schedule.   | It is on contractor for DB but th<br>are concerns with whose jurisdi<br>it is.  |            | 2                    | 3              | 3           | 6                | 6                  |
| 21d                   | 40.02                | Airport Guideway                                | Design                                | The traffic management plan approval may compromise the utility relocation schedule.   | Airport Section needs approval<br>HDOT. Designers will do TMP.  | by         | 2                    | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 21e                   | 40.02                | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Design                                | The traffic management plan approval<br>may compromise the utility relocation<br>schedule.   | City controlled streets need<br>coordination with DTW. There v<br>less coordination with HDOT.<br>Designer will do TMP. | will be    | 2                    | 2              | 2           | 4                |                    |
| 22                    | 40.03                | Project Wide                                    | Geotech/Early<br>Const                | Excavated materials may be classed as hazardous and require special disposal.  |   |            | 2                    | 3              | 1           | 4                | 4                  |

|                        |                     | T RISK R  |  |  | Legend   | Lo<br>(1 | and the second | Med<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5) |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|----------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                        |                     |   |  | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | <10      | 0% 10>   | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%                      | >90%               |
|                        |                     | : August 20                                     |  |  | Cost   | < \$2.   | 50K \$250  | 0K><\$1        | \$1M><\$3M  | S3M><\$10                | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                 |                     |   |  |  | Schedule   | <1 M     | Iths 1>  | 3 Mths         | 3×6 Mths    | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <=       | =3   | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=5                      | ).5                |
| Current<br>ID          |                     | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd       | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact |             | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |                    |
| 22a                    | 40.03               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Excavated materials may be classified as hazardous and require special disposal.   |  |          | 2  | 3              | 1           | 4                        | 4                  |
| 22b                    | 40.03               | Kamehameha<br>Highway <sup>.</sup><br>Guideway  | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Excavated materials may be classified as hazardous and require special disposal.   | Draft RFP1 will be released by<br>August for on call haz material<br>disposal contractor.                                  |          | 1  | 3              | 1           | 2                        | 2                  |
| 22d                    | 40.03               | Airport Guideway                                | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Excavated materials may be classed as hazardous and require special disposal.  |  |          | 2  | 3              | 1           | 4                        |                    |
| 22e                    | 40.03               | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Excavated materials may be classed as hazardous and require special disposal.  |  |          | 2  | 3              | 1           | 4                        |                    |
| 24                     | 40.04               | Project Wide                                    | Design                                 | City is unable to process the potential<br>comments from Section 106 Consulting<br>Parties in a timely manner and are not in<br>compliance with the Programmatic<br>Agreement (PA) which could cause<br>delays to the Project. | Have been doing well with the<br>consulting parties and 2 that w<br>originally opponents to rail hav<br>become proponents. | ree      | 1  | 1              | 2           | 1.5                      | 1.5                |
| 25                     | 40.04               | Project Wide                                    | NEPA                                   | Specific burial treatment plan needed if<br>iwi are uncovered and may remain<br>uncertain until iwi are found and may<br>result in project delays.   |  |          | 1  | 2              | 3           | 2.5                      | 2.5                |
| 25d                    | 40.04               | Airport Guideway                                | NEPA                                   | Specific burial treatment plan needed if<br>iwi are uncovered and may remain<br>uncertain until iwi are found and may<br>result in project delays.   |  |          | 1  | 2              | 3           | 2.5                      |                    |
| 25e                    | 40.04               | City Center<br>Guideway                         | NEPA                                   | Specific burial treatment plan needed if<br>iwi are uncovered and may remain<br>uncertain until iwi are found and may<br>result in project delays.   |  |          | 1  | 2              | 3           | 2.5                      |                    |

|                       |                      | T RISK R                                       |  |  | Legend  | Low<br>(1)  | and the second se | fed<br>(2)       | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4)                           | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|------------------|---|--|--------------------|
|                       |                      |  |  | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | <10%  | 6 10>   | <50%             | >50%  | 75%  | >90%               |
|                       |                      | : August 20                                    | 11                                     |  | Cost  | < \$250   | K \$250   | K><\$1           | SIM> <s3m< th=""><th>S3M&gt;<s10< th=""><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s10<></th></s3m<> | S3M> <s10< th=""><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s10<> | >\$10M             |
| Rev.                  |                      |  |  |  | Schedule  | <1 Mt   | hs 1><  | 3 Mths           | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths                                 | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=3   |   | 3.1-9            | .49   | >=   | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code          | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd  | robability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact ( | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B)   |  |                    |
| 26                    | 40.04                | Project Wide                                   | NEPA                                   | For the Clean Water Act, the City expects<br>to get a 404 Nationwide Permit but,<br>depending on the Contractors' changes,<br>they may be required to get an individual<br>permit, which could cause delays to the<br>Project. |   |   | 1   | 5                | 5   | 5  | 5                  |
| 28                    | 40.04                | Project Wide                                   | Requirements                           | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State.  | Right now everything is urgen<br>WOFH, KHG, MSF, and CC AIS.<br>does noise permits and are ho<br>up. NPDES, we have 50+ Perm<br>asked to have the number low<br>and it expedited. They refused<br>have 1 dedicated staff membe<br>look at all permits. First one th<br>done was sent back with nume<br>markups. A critical permit is ne<br>for Leeward Community Colleg<br>PRU (Land use permit). | HDOH<br>Idding us<br>its we<br>vered<br>I but<br>er to<br>nat was<br>erous<br>eeded | 5   | 3                | 2   | 12.5                                       | 10                 |
| 28a                   | 40.04                | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Requirements                           | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State.  | Should have 401 and 404 in ha<br>do not. Right now everything i<br>urgent for WOFH, KHG, MSF, a<br>AIS. HDOH does noise permits<br>are holding us up. NPDES, we l<br>+ Permits we asked to have the<br>number lowered and it expedi<br>They refused but have 1 dedict<br>staff member to look at all per<br>First one that was done was se<br>with numerous markups.                              | s<br>and CC<br>and<br>have 50<br>e<br>ted.<br>ated<br>mits.                         | 4   | 3                | 2   | 10   | 6                  |
| 28b                   | 40.04                | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Requirements                           | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State.  |   |   | 2   | 2                | 1   | 3  | 3                  |

|                        |                      | T RISK R  |                                       |   | Legend   |       | Contraction of the second second | (2)            | High<br>(3)               | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5)   |
|------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
|                        |                      |   |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability  | <1    | 0% 10>                           | <50%           | > 50%                     | 75%              | >90%   |
|                        |                      | : August 201                                    | 1                                     |   | Cost   | < \$2 | 50K \$25                         | )K><\$1        | \$1M><\$3M                | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M   |
| Rev. 6                 | 5                    |   |                                       |   | Schedule   | <1    | Miths 1>                         | 3 Mths         | 3×6 Mths                  | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths   |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <     | =3                               | 3.1-9          |                           | >=\$             | Contraction of the local division of the loc |
| Current<br>ID          |                      | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes a<br>Comments   | nd    | Probability<br>Rating            | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) |                  |  |
| 28c                    | 40.04                | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Requirements                          | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State.             |  |       | 2                                | 2              | 2                         | 4                | 4  |
| 28d                    | 40.04                | Airport Guideway                                | Requirements                          | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State, etc.        | Issues with permits and appr<br>have already arisen with both<br>and KHG sections. |       | 5                                | 2              | 2                         | 10               |  |
| 28e                    | 40.04                | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Requirements                          | Permits and approvals by other agencies<br>may not be provided in a timely manner<br>and delay the project - FAA, FHWA, Navy,<br>DLNR, USACE, City and State, etc.        | Issues with permits and appr<br>have already arisen with both<br>and KHG sections. |       | 5                                | 2              | 2                         | 10               |  |
| 29                     | 40.04                | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | Code changes may result in longer spans<br>over water courses to avoid interference<br>with flood basin, additional flood storage<br>capacity, regrading, or combination. |  |       | . 1                              | 3              | 0                         | 1.5              | 1.5  |
| 29a                    | 40.04                | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway              | Design                                | Code changes may result in longer spans<br>over water courses to avoid interference<br>with flood basin, additional flood storage<br>capacity, regrading, or combination. |  |       | 1                                | 3              | 0                         | 1.5              | 1.5  |
| 29b                    | 40.04                | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Design                                | Code changes may result in longer spans<br>over water courses to avoid interference<br>with flood basin, additional flood storage<br>capacity, regrading, or combination. |  |       | 1                                | 3              | 0                         | 1.5              | 1.5  |
| 29d                    | 40.04                | Airport Guideway                                | Design                                | Code changes may result in longer spans<br>over water courses to avoid interference<br>with flood basin, additional flood storage<br>capacity, regrading, or combination. | Could involve 404 and DPP.   |       | 1                                | 3              | 0                         | 1.5              |  |
| 29e                    | 40.04                | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Design                                | Code changes may result in longer spans<br>over water courses to avoid interference<br>with flood basin, additional flood storage<br>capacity, regrading, or combination. | Could involve 404 and DPP.   |       | 1                                | 3              | 0                         | 1.5              |  |

|                        |                        | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend   |       | Low<br>(1) |                 | led<br>2)      | 1                   | High<br>(3)           | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5)   |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------|------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                        |                        |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probabilit   | y     | <10%       |                 | <50%           |                     | 50%                   | 75%                      | >90%                 |
|                        |                        | : August 201                                    |                                       |  | Cost   |       | <\$250K    | \$250           | K><\$1         | \$1M                | I><\$3M               | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (                 |                        |   |                                       |  | Schedule   | e     | <1 Mths    | 1 > <           | 3 Mths         | 3><                 | 6 Mths                | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as 1 | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   |       | <=3        |                 | 3.1-           | 9.49                |                       | >=9                      | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID          | SCC<br>Code            | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes<br>Comments                     | and   |            | ability<br>ting | Cost<br>Impact | COLUMN THE PARTY OF | Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| 2a                     | 10.04                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway              | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>findings could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>relocate columns and foundations.                                    |  |       |            | 1               | 4              |                     | 3                     | 3.5                      | 3.5                  |
| 2b                     | 10.04                  | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>findings could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>foundations.   |  |       |            | 1               | 2              |                     | 3                     | 2.5                      | 2.5                  |
| 2c                     | 10.04                  | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>findings could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>relocate columns and foundations.                                    |  |       |            | 1               | 3              |                     | 3                     | 3                        | 3                    |
| 2d                     | 10.04                  | Airport Guideway                                | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>findings could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>relocate columns and foundations.                                    |  |       |            | 1               | 3              |                     | 3                     | 3                        | 3                    |
| 2e                     | 10.04                  | City Center<br>Guideway                         | NEPA                                  | Discovery of unanticipated archeological<br>findings could result in construction<br>delay and/or design modification to<br>relocate columns and foundations.                                    | Excavation is not required fo<br>column locations. | or al | 1          | 1               | 3              |                     | 3                     | 3                        | 3                    |
| 3                      | 10.04                  | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | HDOT reviews of Interstate Crossings are<br>not provided in a timely manner and<br>delay the project. (WOFH, Kamehameha,<br>and Airport Guideway Segments).                                      |  |       |            | 3               | 2              |                     | 2                     | 6                        | 6                    |
| 30                     | 40.04                  | Project Wide                                    | NEPA                                  | Revision to current environmental<br>documentation to incorporate any<br>change in the project or identified scope<br>not specifically covered in the EIS delays<br>project and increases costs. |  |       |            | 3               | 3              |                     | 3                     | 9                        | 9.                   |

|                       |                      | T RISK R                                       |  |  | Legend  | Lov<br>(1)                                    | the second s | Ied<br>(2)     | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|----------------|---|------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                      |  |  | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | < 10  | % 10>  | <50%           | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%               |
| Date ]                | lssue                | : August 20                                    | 11                                     |  | Cost  | < \$25  | 0K \$250   | K><\$1         | SIM> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                | 5                    |  |  |  | Schedule  | <1 M  | iths 1><   | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=  | 3  | 3.1-9          | 9.49  | >=5              | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID         |                      | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd  | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact |   |                  |                    |
| 31                    | 40.04                | Project Wide                                   | NEPA                                   | Environmental documents may be<br>required due to scope changes that may<br>not be covered in the FEIS and may cause<br>delays to the project. (Particularly the<br>Casting Yard)  | Decision is still pending regard<br>casting yard. This risk would a<br>applicable to Airport and CC ir<br>regards to other possible loca<br>for casting yard.   | lso be<br>n                                   | 5  | 5              | 3   | 20               | 20                 |
| 31a                   | 40.04                | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | NEPA                                   | Environmental documents may be<br>required due to scope changes that may<br>not be covered in the FEIS and may cause<br>delays to the project. (Particularly the<br>Casting Yard)  | Issue is still ongoing. Kiewit to<br>provide the required documer<br>for the sites they have located<br>and Harbors Point) along with<br>identified sites that were cons<br>not an option. Once received,<br>documents will then be forwa<br>to the FTA for further review. | ntation<br>I (Grace<br>other<br>idered<br>the | 5  | 5              | 4   | 22.5             | 22.5               |
| 31b                   | 40.04                | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | NEPA                                   | Environmental documents may be<br>required due to scope changes that may<br>not be covered in the FEIS and may cause<br>delays to the project. (Particularly the<br>Casting Yard)  | Decision is still pending regard<br>Casting Yard. KHG's proposal s  | tates   | 5  | 4              | 1   | 12.5             | 12.5               |
| 31d                   | 40.04                | Airport Guideway                               | NEPA                                   | Environmental documents may be<br>required due to scope changes that may<br>not be covered in the FEIS and may cause<br>delays to the project.   | Final Design has not yet starte<br>this time, it is unknown what o<br>may occur to the scope that w<br>require additional environmer<br>reviews.  | changes<br>ould                               | 2  | 2              | 2   | 4                |                    |
| 31e                   | 40.04                | City Center<br>Guideway                        | NEPA                                   | Environmental documents may be<br>required due to scope changes that may<br>not be covered in the FEIS and may cause<br>delays to the project.   | Final Design has not yet starte<br>this time, it is unknown what o<br>may occur to the scope that w<br>require additional environmer<br>reviews.  | changes<br>ould                               | 2  | 2              | 2   | 4                |                    |
| 32                    | 40.08                | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction                           | Hawaii Housing Finance & Development<br>Corporation owns this property<br>(Kaka'ako area) and may be in<br>construction of a new housing project<br>while HHCTCP is in construction, which<br>would require additional coordination. | Construction has started on th housing project.   | iis   | 2  | 1              | 1   | 2                | 2                  |

Page 19 of 50

|                        |                       | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend  | Low<br>(1) | 1000 mg              | Med<br>(2)       | High<br>(3)               | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                        |                       |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | <10%       | 10>                  | <50%             | > 50%                     | 75%              | >90%               |
|                        |                       | : August 20                                     | 11                                    |  | Cost  | < \$2501   | \$ \$250             | )K><\$1          | \$1M><\$3M                | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. 6                 |                       |   |                                       |  | Schedule  | <1 Mth     | s 1><                | 3 Mths           | 3><6 Mths                 | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ect Wid<br>seem as    | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=3        |                      | 3.1-9            | .49                       | >=5              | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID          | and the second second | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | id P       | robability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact ( | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) |                  |                    |
| 33                     | 40.07                 | Project Wide                                    | Requirements                          | HDOT may require replacement of all existing traffic signal equipment with new.  |   |            | 3                    | 4                | 2                         | 9                | 9                  |
| 33a                    | 40.07                 | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Requirements                          | HDOT may require replacement of all<br>existing traffic signal equipment (and ITS<br>cameras) with new.  |   |            | 3                    | 3                | 0                         | 4.5              | 4.5                |
| 33b                    | 50.02                 | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Design                                | HDOT may require replacement of all existing traffic signal equipment with new.  |   | 4. U       | 2                    | 3                | 0                         | 3                | 3                  |
| 33d                    | 40.07                 | Airport Guideway                                | Requirements                          | HDOT or City may require replacement of<br>all existing traffic signal equipment with<br>new.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |            | 3                    | 3                | 1                         | 6                |                    |
| 33e                    | 40.07                 | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Requirements                          | HDOT or City may require replacement of<br>all existing traffic signal equipment with<br>new.  |   |            | 3                    | 4                | 1                         | 7.5              |                    |
| 36                     | 80.06                 | Project Wide                                    | Market                                | Unanticipated litigation may add cost to<br>the Project (e.g., protests from adversary<br>groups, community groups, adjacent<br>landowners, and other affected parties). |   |            | 5                    | 5                | 0                         | 12.5             | 12.5               |
| 36d                    | 80.06                 | Airport Guideway                                | Market                                | Unanticipated litigation may add cost to<br>the Project (e.g., protests from adversary<br>groups, community groups, adjacent<br>landowners, and other affected parties). | Probability lower for Airport ar<br>Center sections due to final de<br>and construction start at least<br>away. | sign       | 2                    | 5                | 0                         | 5                |                    |
| 36e                    | 80.06                 | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Market                                | Unanticipated litigation may add cost to<br>the Project (e.g., protests from adversary<br>groups, community groups, adjacent<br>landowners, and other affected parties). | Probability lower for Airport ar<br>Center sections due to final de<br>and construction start at least<br>away. | sign       | 2                    | 5                | 0                         | 5                |                    |

|                       |                     | T RISK R  |                                       |   | Legend  | Low<br>(1)           | the state of the s | 1ed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3)              | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                     |   |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | <10%                 | 10>  | <50%           | > 50%                    | 75%              | >90%               |
|                       |                     | : August 20                                     | A CARLES AND AND A CARLES             |   | Cost  | < \$250              | K \$250  | K><\$1         | \$1M><\$3M               | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev.                  |                     |   |                                       |   | Schedule  | <1 Mtł               | s 1><  | 3 Mths         | 3>>6 Mths                | 6><12 Mths       | $\geq$ 12 Mths     |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating  | <=3                  |  | 3.1-9          | .49                      | >=9              | 9.5                |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | d P                  | robability<br>Rating   | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B | 0                |                    |
| 38                    | 90                  | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | Scope may be increased based on lessons<br>learned from initial contracts (ex.<br>betterment, station access, utility scope,<br>etc.).  |   |                      | 3  | 3              | 1                        | 6                | 6                  |
| 38b                   | 50                  | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | Design                                | Scope may be increased based on lessons<br>learned from operating segments (ex.<br>betterment, station access, utility scope,<br>etc.). |   |                      | 3  | 2              | 0                        | 3                | 3                  |
| 38d                   | 90                  | Airport Guideway                                | Design                                | Scope may be increased based on lessons<br>learned from initial contracts (ex.<br>betterment, station access, utility scope,<br>etc.).  |   |                      | 3  | 3              | 1                        | 6                |                    |
| 38e                   | 90                  | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Design                                | Scope may be increased based on lessons<br>learned from initial contracts (ex.<br>betterment, station access, utility scope,<br>etc.).  |   |                      | 3  | 3              | 1                        | 6                |                    |
| 39                    | 90                  | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | Contractors may not achieve contract<br>required delivery dates of design<br>information and construction interfaces<br>to others.      |   |                      | 2  | 5              | 2                        | 7                | 7                  |
| 39a                   | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Design                                | Late delivery of/or acceptance of civils,<br>stations, or systems interface to<br>guideway results in change orders.                    | Designer for Farrington Station<br>board to give answers to proce<br>with design. The GEC is availab<br>answer any questions in place of<br>not being on board for CSC, WC<br>Stations and KH Stations. | ed<br>le to<br>of FD | 5  | 3              | 2                        | 12.5             | 12.5               |
| 39b                   | 50.01               | Airport Guideway                                | Construction                          | Late delivery of / or acceptance of civils,<br>structures or guideway contracts may<br>delay systems installations.                     |   |                      | 1  | 4              | 3                        | 3.5              | 3.5                |

|   |               | T RISK R                                      |                      |   | Legend  | Lor<br>(1) |                       | (2)            | High<br>(3)               | (4)                      | Significant<br>(5)   |
|---|---------------|---|----------------------|---|---|------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|   |               | : August 20                                   |                      | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | < 10       |                       | <50%           | > 50%                     | 75%                      | >90%                 |
| Rev.                                    |               | : August 20                                   |                      |   | Cost  | < \$25     |                       |                |                           | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| 2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | Sector States | a risks are avaluated                         | hoth at the Day i    | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,  | Schedule  | <1 M       |                       |                |                           | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths             |
| what may                                | seem as       | repetition are actual                         | y risks as applic    | able to each contract.  | Rating  | <=         | 3                     | 3.1-9          | .49                       | >=5                      | 1.5                  |
| Current<br>ID                           | SCC<br>Code   | Contract<br>Package                           | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd         | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| 39c                                     | 90            | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract | Design               | Late delivery of/or acceptance of<br>systems interface to MSF results in<br>change orders.  | Due to delay of CSC, there may certain interface delays to MS                         |            | 3                     | 3              | 3                         | 9                        | 9                    |
| 39d                                     | 90            | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway             | Design               | Late delivery of/or acceptance of civils,<br>stations, or systems interface to<br>guideway results in change orders.  |   |            | 3                     | 3              | 2                         | 7.5                      | 7.5                  |
| 39e                                     | 50.01         | City Center<br>Guideway                       | Construction         | Late delivery of/or acceptance of civils,<br>structural or guideway contracts may<br>delay systems installations.   |   |            | 1                     | 4              | 3                         | 3.5                      | 3.5                  |
| 39f                                     | 90            | Core Systems<br>Contract                      | Design               | Late delivery of/or acceptance of civils,<br>stations, or guideway interfaces to<br>systems results in change orders.   | The more Core Systems is dela<br>the less impact there will be fr<br>other contracts. |            | 4                     | 4              | 2                         | 12                       | 12                   |
| 3a                                      | 10.04         | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway            | Design               | HDOT reviews of Interstate Crossings are<br>not provided in a timely manner and<br>delay the project. (WOFH, Kamehameha,<br>and Airport Guideway Segments).                             |   |            | 3                     | 2              | 2                         | 6                        | 6                    |
| 3b                                      | 10.04         | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway             | Design               | HDOT reviews of Interstate Crossings are<br>not provided in a timely manner and<br>delay the project. (WOFH, Kamehameha,<br>and Airport Guideway Segments).                             |   |            | 3                     | 2              | 2                         | 6                        | 6                    |
| 3d                                      | 10.04         | Airport Guideway                              | Design               | HDOT reviews of Interstate Crossings are<br>not provided in a timely manner and<br>delay the project. (WOFH, Kamehameha,<br>and Airport Guideway Segments).                             | Have an agreement with HDO<br>the resources for WOFH and K                            |            | 2                     | 2              | 2                         | 4                        |                      |
| 4                                       | 10.04         | Project Wide                                  | Requirements         | Construction of high sections of<br>guideway, e.g. crane's lifting of<br>segments, may be significantly impacted<br>by wind delaying schedule increasing<br>exposure of City to claims. |   |            | 1                     | 2              | 2                         | 2                        | 2                    |

|                       |                     | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend                            | Low<br>(1) | 1. Carlos 1. Car | led<br>2)         | High<br>(3)              | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                     |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability                       | <10%       | 10><   | 50%               | > 50%                    | 75%                      | >90%               |
|                       |                     | : August 201                                    |                                       |  | Cost                              | <\$250K    | \$2501   | K><\$1 \$         | 51M><\$3M                | S3M><\$10                | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                |                     |   |                                       |  | Schedule                          | <1 Mths    | 1>3  | Mths 3            | 3×6 Mths                 | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract. | Rating                            | <=3        |  | 3.1-9.            | 49                       | >=5                      | .5                 |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments |            | bability<br>ating  | Cost<br>Impact (. | A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |                    |
| 40                    | 90                  | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | FTA may not grant an LONP for<br>Construction prior to FFGA.         |                                   |            | 1  | 5                 | 4                        | 4.5                      | 4.5                |
| 40a                   | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Design                                | FTA may not grant an LONP for<br>Construction prior to FFGA.         |                                   |            | 1  | 5                 | 4                        | 4.5                      | 4.5                |
| 40b                   | 90                  | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | Construction                          | FTA may not grant an LONP for<br>Construction prior to FFGA.         |                                   |            | 1  | 4                 | 4                        | 4                        | 4                  |
| 40c                   | 90                  | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Construction                          | FTA may not grant an LONP for<br>Construction prior to FFGA.         |                                   |            | 1  | 5                 | 4                        | 4,5                      | 4.5                |
| 40d                   | 90                  | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | Construction                          | FTA may not grant an LONP for<br>Construction prior to FFGA.         |                                   |            | 1  | 4                 | 4                        | 4                        | 4                  |
| 42                    | 90                  | Project Wide                                    | Construction                          | Strike by shipping contractors may impact delivery of materials.     |                                   |            | 2  | 3                 | 2                        | 5                        | 5                  |
| 42d                   | 90                  | Airport Guideway                                | Construction                          | Strike by shipping contractors may impact delivery of materials.     |                                   |            | 2  | 3                 | 2                        | 5                        |                    |
| 42e                   | 90                  | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Construction                          | Strike by shipping contractors may impact delivery of materials.     |                                   |            | 2  | 3                 | 2                        | 5                        |                    |

|               |             | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend                            |   | .ow<br>(1)      | Med<br>(2) |       | High<br>(3)           | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5)   |
|---------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|               |             |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability                       | <]  |                 | 10><50%    |       | > 50%                 | 75%                      | >90%                 |
|               |             | : August 201                                    |                                       |  | Cost                              | < \$  | 250K S          | 250K><\$1  | \$11  | M><\$3M               | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| Rev.          |             |   |                                       |  | Schedule                          |   |                 | >>3 Mths   | 3>    | <6 Mths               | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths             |
| what may      | seem as     | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.                           | Rating                            | *   | =3              | 3.1        | -9.49 | ,                     | >=9                      | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments | id .  | Probab<br>Ratio |            |       | Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risl<br>Rating |
| 43            | 90          | Project Wide                                    | Requirements                          | The overall project design is incomplete<br>and significant requirements risks still<br>exist. |                                   | and a survey of | 3               | 5          |       | 0                     | 7.5                      | 7.5                  |
| 44            | 90          | Project Wide                                    | Market                                | Lack of bidders could increase costs.  |                                   |   | 3               | 5          |       | 3                     | 12                       | 12                   |
| 44d           | 90          | Airport Guideway                                | Market                                | Lack of bidders could increase costs.  |                                   |   | 3               | 5          |       | 3                     | 12                       |                      |
| 44e           | 90          | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Market                                | Lack of bidders could increase costs.  |                                   |   | 3               | 5          |       | 3                     | 12                       | · · · · · ·          |
| 45            | 90          | Project Wide                                    | Construction                          | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.   |                                   |   | 1               | 4          |       | 2                     | 3                        | 3                    |
| 45a           | 90          | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Construction                          | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.   |                                   |   | 1               | 4          |       | 2                     | 3                        | 3                    |
| 45b           | 90          | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | Construction                          | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.   |                                   |   | 1               | 0          |       | 2                     | 1                        | 1                    |
| 45c           | 90          | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Construction                          | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.   |                                   |   | 1               | 4          |       | 2                     | 3                        | 3                    |

|               |           | T RISK R<br>High-Canaci                        |                      | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend  | Low<br>(1)           |                     | (2)            | High<br>(3) | (4)               | Significan<br>(5) |
|---------------|-----------|--|----------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Date          | Issue     | : August 20                                    | 11                   | it cornuor i roject  | Probability   | <10%                 | _                   | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%               | >90%              |
| Rev. (        |           | · rugust 20                                    |                      |  | Cost<br>Schedule  | < \$250K<br>< 1 Mths |                     |                | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10        | >\$10M            |
| Note: Pro     | ject Wide | e risks are evaluated                          | both at the Proj     | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract. | Rating  | < =3                 |                     | 3 Mths<br>3.1- |             | 6><12 Mths<br>>=9 | > 12 Mths<br>0.5  |
| Current<br>ID | 100.000   | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments                       | -                    | obability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             | 0                 |                   |
| 45d           | 90        | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Construction         | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.                   |   |                      | 1                   | 0              | 2           | 1                 | 1                 |
| 45e           | 90        | Airport Guideway                               | Construction         | Unforeseen exceptional weather may<br>impact project.                |   |                      | 1                   | 4              | 2           | 3                 |                   |
| 45f           | 90        | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction         | Unforeseen exceptional weather may impact project.                   |   |                      | 1                   | 4              | 2           | 3                 |                   |
| 46            | 90        | Project Wide                                   | Requirements         | FTA review and approvals process may delay entry into Final design . |   |                      | 3                   | 4              | 2           | 9                 | 9                 |
| 46b           | 90        | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Requirements         | FTA review process may delay entry into<br>Final Design .            | Risk impact on CSC is minimal.                          |                      | 1                   | 2              | 1           | 1.5               | 1.5               |
| 47            | 90        | Project Wide                                   | Design               | Delays due to integration of new government entities.                | No delays have yet to result du<br>integration of HART. | e to                 | 2                   | 1              | 2           | 3                 | 3                 |
| 47a           | 90        | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design               | Delays due to integration of new government entities.                | No delays have yet to result due integration of HART.   | e to                 | 2                   | 1              | 2           | 3                 | 3                 |
| 47b           | 90        | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Design               | Delays due to integration of new government entities.                | No delays have yet to result due integration of HART.   | e to                 | 2                   | 1              | 2           | 3                 | 3                 |

| Hono          | lulu I<br>Issue | T RISK R<br>High-Capaci<br>: August 20          | ity Trans                             | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend<br>Probability<br>Cost<br>Schedule            | Low<br>(1)<br><109<br><\$250 | % 10><br>0K \$250     |                  | High<br>(3)<br>> 50%<br>\$1M><\$3M | Very High<br>(4)<br>75%<br>\$3M>\$10 | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90%<br>>\$10M  |
|---------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Note: Pro     | ject Wid        | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>v risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <1 Mt                        |                       | 3 Mths<br>3.1-9  |                                    | 6><12 Mths<br>>=5                    | > 12 Mths<br>0.5  |
| Current<br>ID | 1               | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments                    | id <sup>1</sup>              | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact ( | A) Schedule<br>Delay (B)           | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2             | and the second se |
| 47c           | 90              | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Design                                | Delays due to integration of new government entities.  | No delays have yet to result du integration of HART. | ue to                        | 2                     | 1                | 2                                  | 3                                    | 3   |
| 47d           | 90              | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | Design                                | Delays due to integration of new government entities.  | No delays have yet to result du integration of HART. | ue to                        | 2                     | 1                | 2                                  | 3                                    | 3   |
| 48            | 90              | Project Wide                                    | тсс                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to contractors requests for change orders and claims leads to force accounting.       |  |                              | 3                     | 3                | 0                                  | 4,5                                  | 4.5   |
| 48a           | 90              | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | тсс                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to<br>contractors requests for change orders<br>and claims leads to force accounting. |  |                              | 4                     | 3 .              | 0                                  | 6                                    | 6   |
| 48b           | 80              | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | тсс                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to contractors requests for change orders and claims leads to force accounting.       |  |                              | 2                     | 3                | 2                                  | 5                                    | 5   |
| 48c           | 80              | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | TCC                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to<br>contractors requests for change orders<br>and claims leads to force accounting. |  |                              | 2                     | 3                | 0                                  | 3                                    | 3   |
| 48d           | 80              | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | TCC                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to<br>contractors requests for change orders<br>and claims leads to force accounting. |  |                              | 2                     | 2                | 0                                  | 2                                    | 2   |
| 48e           | 90              | Airport Guideway                                | тсс                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to<br>contractors requests for change orders<br>and claims leads to force accounting. |  |                              | 3                     | 3                | 0                                  | 4.5                                  |   |

| Hono<br>Date<br>Rev. 6 | lulu I<br>Issue<br>5 | : August 20                                     | ity Trans<br>11                       | it Corridor Project   | Probabi<br>Cost   | LegendLog<br>(1)Probability<10Cost<82Schedule<11Rating<1 |                  | 10><br>5250         | fed<br>(2)<br><50%<br>K><\$1<br>3 Mths | High<br>(3)<br>> 50%<br>\$1M><\$3M<br>3><6 Mths |     | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90%<br>>\$10M<br>>12 Mths |
|------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------|---------------------|--|---|-----|--|
| Note: Pro<br>what may  | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Ratin   | g  | <=3              |                     | 3.1-9                                  | 9.49  | >=  | 9.5  |
| Current<br>ID          |                      | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Not<br>Comments  | es ar  |                  | obability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact                         |   |     |  |
| 48f                    | 90                   | City Center<br>Guideway                         | тсс                                   | Insufficient City resources to respond to contractors requests for change orders and claims leads to force accounting.  |   |  |                  | 3                   | 3                                      | 0   | 4.5 |  |
| 49                     | 90                   | Project wide                                    | Construction                          | HDOT Master Agreement clarifications -<br>difference between perceived<br>requirements for operation and<br>maintenance at bid and actual - result in<br>change orders.                 |   |  |                  | 5                   | 3                                      | 0   | 7.5 | 7.5  |
| 49a                    | 90                   | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Construction                          | HDOT Master Agreement clarifications -<br>difference between perceived<br>requirements for operation and<br>maintenance at bid and actual - result in<br>change orders.                 |   |  |                  | 5                   | 3                                      | 0   | 7.5 | 7.5  |
| 49b                    | 90                   | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Construction                          | HDOT Master Agreement clarifications -<br>difference between perceived<br>requirements for operation and<br>maintenance at bid and actual - result in<br>change orders.                 | HDOT Master Agreement<br>months to a year out. WC<br>yet have an agreement. N<br>an agreement in place do<br>down contract. | FH d<br>ot ha  | oes not<br>aving | 5                   | 2                                      | 0   | 5   | 5  |
| 4d                     | 10.04                | Airport Guideway                                | Requirements                          | Construction of high sections of<br>guideway, e.g. crane's lifting of<br>segments, may be significantly impacted<br>by wind delaying schedule increasing<br>exposure of City to claims. |   |  |                  | 1                   | 2                                      | 2   | 2   |  |
| 4e                     | 10.04                | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Requirements                          | Construction of high sections of<br>guideway, e.g. crane's lifting of<br>segments, may be significantly impacted<br>by wind delaying schedule increasing<br>exposure of City to claims. |   |  |                  | 1                   | 2                                      | 2   | 2   |  |
| 5                      | 10.04                | Project Wide                                    | Design                                | 30 inch width of walkway may be<br>increased if safety officer will not accept<br>9" gap between train car and walkway.   |   |  |                  | 2                   | 1                                      | 0   | 1   | 1  |

|                        |                     | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend  | Low<br>(1)                              | and the second se | (1ed<br>(2)      | High<br>(3)              | Very High<br>(4)         | Significan<br>(5)    |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                        |                     |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability   | <109                                    |   | <50%             | > 50%                    | 75%                      | >90%                 |
|                        |                     | : August 201                                    | 1                                     |  | Cost  | < \$250                                 | K \$250   | K><\$1 §         | §1M≻<\$3M                | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (                 |                     |   |                                       |  | Schedule  | <1 Mt                                   |   |                  |                          | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Proj<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.     | Rating  | <=3                                     |   | 3.1-9.           | 49                       | >=9                      | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID          | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | id <sup>1</sup>                         | Probability<br>Rating   | Cost<br>Impact ( | A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risl<br>Rating |
| 50                     | 90                  | Project wide                                    | тсс                                   | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 3   | 4                | 2                        | 9                        | 9                    |
| 50a                    | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | TCC ·                                 | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 2   | 3                | 2                        | 5                        | 5                    |
| 50b                    | 90                  | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | Design                                | Concurrent design reviews of numerous<br>contracts may result in delays. | City will respond to reviews ba<br>agreed upon time frame and w<br>work to manage appropriately.<br>also assess items that are critic<br>make sure to respond so that<br>additional costs do not occur. (<br>impact reduced from \$250k to<br>to less than \$250k. Cost impact<br>reduced to less than 1 month. | ill<br>They<br>al and<br>Cost<br>\$1mil | 3   | 1                | 1                        | 3                        | 6                    |
| 50c                    | 90                  | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | TCC                                   | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 4   | 2                | 2                        | 8                        | 8                    |
| 50d                    | 90                  | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | Requirements                          | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 4   | 3                | 2                        | 10                       | 10                   |
| 50e                    | 90                  | Airport Guideway                                | тсс                                   | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 3   | 4                | 2                        | 9                        |                      |
| 50f                    | 90                  | City Center<br>Guideway                         | TCC                                   | Concurrent design reviews of numerous contracts may result in delays.    |   |   | 3   | 4                | 2                        | 9                        |                      |

|                       |                     | T RISK R<br>High-Capaci                        |                                       | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend<br>Probability   | Lor<br>(1<br><10                          | 1                     | (2)<br><50%    | High<br>(3)<br>.>50%      | Very High<br>(4)<br>75%                                | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
|                       |                     | : August 20                                    |                                       |  | Cost  | < \$25                                    |                       |                | \$1M><\$3M                | S3M> <s10< th=""><th>&gt;90%<br/>&gt;\$10M</th></s10<> | >90%<br>>\$10M     |
| Rev.                  | 5                   |  |                                       |  | Schedule  | <1 M                                      |                       |                |                           | 6><12 Mths   | > 12 Mths          |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=  |                       | 3.1-9          |                           | >=5  |                    |
| Current               | ALC: NOT THE OWNER  | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes ar<br>Comments   | nd  | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2                               |                    |
| 51                    | 90                  | Project wide                                   | Construction                          | Insurance costs may be transferred to Contractor and result in change orders.  |   |   | 5                     | 5              | 0                         | 12.5   | 12.5               |
| 51a                   | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Construction                          | Insurance costs may be transferred to Contractor and result in change orders.  | Probability of insurance costs<br>increased to 90% due to minim<br>insurance amount for WOFH<br>allocated in Project budget.  | nal                                       | 5                     | 5              | 0                         | 12.5   | 7.5                |
| 51b                   | 90                  | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Requirements                          | Insurance costs may be transferred to<br>Contractor and result in change orders.   | RFC will be submitted to Contr<br>for a full term quote for their s<br>insurance for life of contract. T<br>some insurance cost in allocate<br>contingency but there may be<br>above the allocated amount du<br>lack of competition and MSF b<br>joint venture. | self<br>There is<br>ed<br>a cost<br>ue to | 5                     | 3              | 0                         | 7.5  | 7.5                |
| 51c                   | 90                  | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Requirements                          | Insurance costs may be transferred to Contractor and result in change orders.  | RFC will be submitted to Kiewi<br>full term quote of their self ins<br>for life of contract.  |   | 4                     | 4              | 0 .                       | 8  | 8                  |
| 51d                   | 90                  | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Construction                          | Insurance costs may be transferred to<br>Contractor and result in change orders.   |   |   | 3                     | 3              | 0                         | 4.5  | 4.5                |
| 52                    | 90                  | Project wide                                   | тсс                                   | City review of contractor submittals may<br>take longer than the time contractor<br>currently assumes, resulting in<br>Contractor delays and claims. |   |   | 3                     | 4              | 2                         | 9  | 9                  |
| 52a                   | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | тсс                                   | City review of contractor submittals may<br>take longer than the time contractor<br>currently assumes, resulting in<br>Contractor delays and claims. |   |   | 2                     | 2              | 1                         | 3  | 3                  |

|                       |                      | T RISK R  |                                       |  | Legend   | Lov<br>(1)               | and the second se | (2)            | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significan<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                       |                      |   |                                       | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | <10                      | % 10>   | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%              |
| Date ]                | lssue                | : August 20                                     | 11                                    |  | Cost   | < \$25                   | 0K \$250  | K><\$1         | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M            |
| Rev. (                |                      |   |                                       |  | Schedule   | <1 M                     | lths 1>   | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths          |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <=                       | 3   | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=9              | 0.5               |
| Current<br>ID         |                      | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd                       | Probability<br>Rating   | Cost<br>Impact |             | C                |                   |
| 52b                   | 90                   | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | Requirements                          | City review of contractor submittals may<br>take longer than the time contractor<br>currently assumes, resulting in<br>Contractor delays and claims. | City is telling the contractor it<br>day turn around, for certain ite<br>may be faster, but is not in the<br>contract. MSF has responded t<br>day requests that it is not acce<br>since it is not in contract. | ems it<br>e<br>to all 14 | . 3   | 2              | 2           | 6                | 6                 |
| 52c                   | 90                   | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | тсс                                   | City review of contractor submittals may<br>take longer than the time contractor<br>currently assumes, resulting in<br>Contractor delays and claims. |  |                          | 3   | 2              | 2           | 6                | 6                 |
| 53                    | 90                   | Project wide                                    | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to opening.   |  |                          | 1   | 5              | 3           | 4                | 4                 |
| 53a                   | 90                   | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to interim opening.                                   | Cost would be due to interface<br>delays. DB is responsible for o<br>design.   | -                        | 1   | 4              | 3           | 3.5              | 3.5               |
| 53b                   | 90                   | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract   | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to Interim Opening #1.                                | Since DB is responsible for des<br>cost is on contractor. Delay we<br>only affect the interim openin   | bluc                     | 1   | 3              | 3           | 3                | 3                 |
| 53c                   | 90                   | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to opening.   |  |                          | 1   | 4              | 3           | 3.5              | 3.5               |
| 53d                   | 90                   | Core Systems<br>Contract                        | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to opening.   | Any design errors found will m<br>be caught early on and should<br>in minimum delays.  |                          | 1   | 3              | 3           | 3                | 3                 |
| 53e                   | 90                   | Airport Guideway                                | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during<br>construction results in consequential<br>delays to opening.   |  |                          | 1   | 5              | - 3         | 4                |                   |

Page 30 of 50

|                     |                      | T RISK R  |                                       |   | Legend  | Lov<br>(1)                                 | 1.100.000             | fed<br>(2)      | High<br>(3)         | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                     |                      | High-Capaci<br>: August 201                     |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability<br>Cost   | <10<br><\$25                               |                       | <50%<br>K><\$1  | > 50%<br>\$1M><\$3M | 75%<br>\$3M><\$10        | >90%<br>>\$10M     |
| Rev. (<br>Note: Pro | ject Wid             | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>v risks as applic | eet Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Schedule<br>Rating  | <1 M<br><=;                                | ths 1><               | 3 Mths<br>3.1-9 | 3><6 Mths           | 6><12 Mths<br>>=9        | > 12 Mths<br>.5    |
| Current<br>ID       | In the second second | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | d  | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact  |                     | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |                    |
| 53f                 | 90                   | City Center<br>Guideway                         | Construction                          | Significant design errors identified during construction results in consequential delays to opening.  | 5   |  | 1                     | 5               | 3                   | 4                        |                    |
| 54                  | 90                   | Project wide                                    | Construction                          | City maintenance of guideway and other<br>structures, after substantial completion 1<br>year warranty period, may require<br>additional remedial work (prior to<br>systemwide opening). |   |  | 1                     | 5               | 2                   | 3.5                      | 3.5                |
| 54d                 | 90                   | Airport Guideway                                | Construction                          | City maintenance of guideway and other<br>structures, after substantial completion 1<br>year warranty period, may require<br>additional remedial work (prior to<br>systemwide opening). |   |  | 1                     | 5               | 2                   | 3.5                      |                    |
| 55                  | 40.02                | Project Wide                                    | Construction                          | HDOT may require grouting of abandoned utilities left in place.   | HDOT is worried about future<br>settlement and is requiring full<br>grouting of all utilities over 8"<br>diameter and in the median. Ou<br>requirements are verified by HI<br>change order will be submitted  | nce<br>DOT a                               | 5                     | 4               | 0                   | 10                       | 10                 |
| 55a                 | 40.02                | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Construction                          | DOT may require grouting of abandoned<br>utilities left in place.   | Kiewit recently received a lette<br>HDOT stating they must remove<br>utilities abandoned except for t<br>under median. They must also<br>all abandoned utilities over 8"<br>diameter. The city is currently i<br>process of reviewing and receive<br>clarification. | r from<br>e all<br>those<br>grout<br>n the | 5                     | 3               | 0                   | 7.5                      | 7.5                |
| 55b                 | 40.02                | Airport Guideway                                | Construction                          | DOT may require grouting of abandoned utilities left in place.  |   |  | 5                     | 3               | 0                   | 7.5                      | 7.5                |

|                       |  | T RISK R                                       |                                       |   | Legend   | Low<br>(1)   | CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP | fed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significan<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------|---|------------------|-------------------|
|                       |  |  |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability  | <109   | 6 10>  | <50%           | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%              |
| Date .                | Issue  | : August 20                                    | 11 - 2 - 1                            |   | Cost   | < \$25   | K \$250  | K><\$1         | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M            |
| Rev.                  | 6  |  |                                       |   | Schedule   | <1 M   | hs 1><   | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths          |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as  | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <=3  |  | 3.1-9          | .49   | >=               |                   |
| Current<br>ID         | le ser le se | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | d  | Probability<br>Rating  | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B  |                  |                   |
| 55c                   | 40.02  | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Construction                          | DOT may require grouting of abandoned utilities left in place.  |  |  | 5  | 3              | 0   | 7.5              | 7.5               |
| 55d                   | 40.02  | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction                          | HDOT may require grouting of abandoned utilities left in place.   |  |  | 5  | 3              | 0   | 7.5              | 7.5               |
| 56                    | 40.02  | Project Wide                                   | Construction                          | BWS and/or HDOT may not grant waiver<br>to leave in place existing utilities to be<br>abandoned that are not impacted by new<br>structures requiring partial or total<br>removal. | Kiewit received letter from HDC<br>regarding utilities that are to be<br>abandoned. HDOT is more willin<br>work with the City than the<br>contractor to negotiate on a car<br>case request to leave in place. I<br>Mar is the point of contact and<br>received clarification that remo<br>only on those in our work area,<br>over.                     | e<br>ng to<br>se by<br>Darrin<br>has<br>oval is                    | 4  | 5              | 1   | 12               | 4                 |
| 56a                   | 40.02  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction                          | BWS and/or HDOT may not grant waiver<br>to leave in place existing utilities to be<br>abandoned that are not impacted by new<br>structures requiring partial or total<br>removal. | Kiewit received letter from HDC<br>stating that all abandoned utilit<br>the roadway of Farrington High<br>must be removed. Darrin Mar<br>received clarification that remo<br>only on those in our work area,<br>over. Darrin is working with HD<br>get waiver on a case by case ba<br>WOFH. Cost impact reduced to<br>\$10 million based on rough esti | ties in<br>way<br>oval is<br>not all<br>OT to<br>sis for<br>\$3 to | 4  | 4              | 1   | 10               | 12                |

|                           |          | T RISK R                                     |   | ER<br>it Corridor Project   | Legend   | Lo<br>(1   | )                     | fed<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------------------|----------|--|---|---|--|--|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                           |          | : August 201                                 |   | n cornuor rroject   | Probability  | < 10   |                       | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev.                      |          | · rugust 201                                 |   |   | Cost<br>Schedule   | < \$2  |                       |                |             | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Note: Pro                 | ject Wid | e risks are evaluated                        | both at the Proj                          | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,  | Rating   | <1 \   |                       | 3 Mths<br>3.1- |             | 6><12 Mths       | > 12 Mths          |
| what may<br>Current<br>ID |          | repetition are actual<br>Contract<br>Package | y risks as applic<br>FTA Risk<br>Category | able to each contract.<br>Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd   | Probability<br>Rating |                | Schedule    | Risk Rating      | Prior Ris          |
| 56b                       | 40.02    | Airport Guideway                             | Construction                              | BWS and/or HDOT may not grant waiver<br>to leave in place existing utilities to be<br>abandoned that are not impacted by new<br>structures requiring partial or total<br>removal. | Kiewit received letter from HE<br>stating that all abandoned util<br>the roadway of Farrington Hig<br>must be removed. Darrin Mar<br>received clarification that rem<br>only on those in our work area<br>over. Darrin is working with HI<br>get waiver on a case by case b<br>WOFH. | ities in<br>hway<br>oval is<br>n, not all<br>DOT to  | 4                     | 4              | 1           | 10               | 4                  |
| 56c                       | 40.02    | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway            | Construction                              | BWS and/or HDOT may not grant waiver<br>to leave in place existing utilities to be<br>abandoned that are not impacted by new<br>structures requiring partial or total<br>removal. | Kiewit received letter from HD stating that all abandoned util   | ities in<br>I.<br>on that<br>r work<br>rking<br>case by<br>act<br>ased on<br>eement<br>terlines<br>vhich | 4                     | 4              | 1           | 10               | 12                 |
| 56d                       | 40.02    | City Center<br>Guideway                      | Construction                              | BWS and/or HDOT may not grant waiver<br>to leave in place existing utilities to be<br>abandoned that are not impacted by new<br>structures requiring partial or total<br>removal. | Kiewit received letter from HD stating that all abandoned util   | ities in<br>hway<br>oval is<br>, not all<br>DOT to   | 4                     | 4              | 1           | 10               | 4                  |

Page 33 of 50

|                       |                      | T RISK R  |                                       |   | Legend   | Low<br>(1) | and the second se | Med<br>(2)     | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5)               |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|------------|---|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hono                  | lulu H               | High-Capaci                                     | ity Trans                             | it Corridor Project   | Probability  | < 109      |   | <50%           | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%                             |
| Date ]                | lssue                | : August 201                                    | 11                                    |   | Cost   | < \$250    | K \$250   | 0K><\$1        | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M                           |
| Rev. 6                | 5                    |   |                                       |   | Schedule   | <1 Mt      |   |                |   | 6><12 Mths       | > 12 Mths                        |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wide<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <=3        |   | 3.1-9          |   | >=5              | Contraction of the second second |
| Current<br>ID         | 1                    | Contract<br>Package                             | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd         | Probability<br>Rating   | Cost<br>Impact |   |                  |                                  |
| 57                    | 40.04                | Project Wide                                    | NEPA                                  | During excavation for new Utilities, iwi<br>(Archeological human remains) may be<br>found requiring revised alignment for<br>utility relocations which would result in<br>additional costs and possible schedule<br>delays from Contractor.                               | Submitted AISP to SHPD. Proje<br>expects to start AIS on CC in Se<br>2011. |            | 4   | 3              | 2   | 10               | 10                               |
| 57a                   | 40.04                | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | NEPA                                  | During excavation for new Utilities, iwi<br>(Archeological human remains) may be<br>found requiring revised alignment for<br>utility relocations on Farrington Highway,<br>which are likely to incur additional costs<br>and possible schedule delays from<br>Contractor. |  |            | 1   | 2              | 2   | 2                | 2                                |
| 57b                   | 40.04                | Airport Guideway                                | NEPA                                  | During excavation for new Utilities, iwi<br>(Archeological human remains) may be<br>found requiring revised alignment for<br>utility relocations on the Airport segment<br>which are likely to incur additional costs<br>and possible schedule delays from<br>Contractor  |  |            | 2   | 2              | 2   | 4                | 4                                |
| 57c                   | 40.04                | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway               | NEPA                                  | During excavation for new utilities, iwi<br>(archeological human remains) may be<br>found requiring revised alignment for<br>utility relocations on Kamehameha<br>Highway, which is likely to incur<br>additional costs and possible schedule<br>delays from Contractor.  |  |            | 1   | 2              | 1   | 1.5              | 1.5                              |
| 57d                   | 40.04                | City Center<br>Guideway                         | NEPA                                  | During excavation for new utilities, iwi<br>(Archeological human remains) may be<br>found, which would require revised<br>alignment for utility relocations if iwi are<br>preserved in place.   | No change until at least Septer<br>2011.                                   | mber       | 4   | 3              | 2   | 10               | 10                               |

|           |                              | CT RISK R<br>High-Canaci                      |                  | ER<br>it Corridor Project   | Legend   | Lo<br>(1     | )           | Med<br>(2)      | High<br>(3)  | (4)            | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|----------------|--------------------|
| Date      | Issue                        | : August 20                                   | 11               | n Corridor i rojeci   | Probability  | <10          |             | <50%            | > 50%  | 75%            | >90%               |
| Rev.      |                              |   |                  |   | Cost<br>Schedule   | <\$2<br><1 M |             |                 | SIM> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<>  | \$3M><\$10     | >\$10M             |
| Note: Pro | ject Wid                     | e risks are evaluated                         | both at the Proj | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   | Rating   | <1A<br><=    |             | 3 Mths<br>3.1-1 | the second s | 6><12 Mths >=9 | >12 Mths           |
| Current   | and the second second second | Contract                                      | FTA Risk         | able to each contract. Risk Description   | Most Current Notes a   | d            | Probability | Cost            | Schedule   | Risk Rating    | Prior Risk         |
| 10        | Code                         | Package                                       | Category         |   | Comments   | iu.          | Rating      | Impact          | (A) Delay (B)  | % x(A+B)/2     | Rating             |
| 58        | 90                           | Project Wide                                  | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders.   |  |              | 5           | 4               | 1  | 12.5           | 12.5               |
| 58a       | 90                           | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway            | Design           | City may require design changes to DB<br>submittals resulting in formal change<br>orders.   | Cost increased from \$1 to \$3 r<br>to \$3 to \$10 million. RFC's curr<br>for WOFH are around \$2 millio<br>Addition costs are expected to | ently<br>n.  | 5           | 4               | 1  | 12.5           | 10                 |
| 58b       | 90                           | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders.   |  |              | 5           | 2               | 0  | 5              | 5                  |
| 58c       | 90                           | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway             | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders.   |  |              | 2           | 2               | 0  | 2              | 2                  |
| 58d       | 90                           | Core Systems<br>Contract                      | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders. (Covers any changes to June<br>2012)                |  |              | 5           | 2               | 1  | 7.5            | 7.5                |
| 58e       | 90                           | Airport Guideway                              | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders.   |  |              | 2           | 3               | 1  | 4              |                    |
| 58f       | 90                           | City Center<br>Guideway                       | Design           | City may require changes to baseline<br>documents resulting in formal change<br>orders.   |  |              | 2           | 3               | 1  | 4              |                    |
| 59        | 40.08                        | Project Wide                                  | Construction     | Traffic disruptions may result in revised<br>constraints imposed by City or HDOT<br>(lane restrictions and peak time flow<br>restrictions). |  |              | 4           | 4               | 2  | 12             | 12                 |

| Hono                  | lulu I              | the second se | ty Trans                              | ER<br>it Corridor Project   | Legend<br>Probability  | Low<br>(1)<br><10% | (                   | fed<br>(2)<br><50% | High<br>(3)<br>> 50%  | Very High<br>(4)<br>75% | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90% |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
|                       |                     | : August 201  |                                       |   | Cost   | < \$250K           | \$250               | K><\$1 \$3         | 1M><\$3M              | \$3M><\$10              | >\$10M                     |
| Rev. (                |                     |   |                                       |   | Schedule   | <1 Mths            | 1><                 | 3 Mths 3           | ≥≪6 Mths              | 6><12 Mths              | >12 Mths                   |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actuall   | both at the Proj<br>y risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <=3                |                     | 3.1-9.4            | 19                    | >=9                     | .5                         |
| Current<br>ID         |                     | Contract<br>Package   | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  |                    | obability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact (A  | Schedule<br>Delay (B) |                         | Prior Risk<br>Rating       |
| 59a                   | 40.08               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Construction                          | Traffic disruption on Farrington Highway<br>may result in revised constraints<br>imposed by City or HDOT (ex. lane<br>restrictions and peak time flow<br>restrictions).   | 1  |                    | 3                   | 3                  | 2                     | 7.5                     | 7.5                        |
| 59b                   | 40.08               | Airport Guideway  | Construction                          | Traffic disruptions in Airport segment<br>may result in revised constraints<br>imposed by City or HDOT. (Ex. lane<br>restrictions and peak time flow<br>restrictions)   | •  |                    | 3                   | 3                  | 2                     | 7.5                     | 7.5                        |
| 59c                   | 40.08               | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway   | Construction                          | Traffic disruption on Kamehameha<br>Highway may result in revised<br>constraints imposed by City or HDOT,<br>following commencement of<br>construction. (lane restrictions and peak<br>time flow restrictions). | Unsure if HDOT will allow traffi<br>restrictions put in SPs. Do not h<br>formal agreement with them a<br>time. | nave a             | 4                   | 3                  | 2                     | 10                      | 10                         |
| 59d                   | 40.08               | City Center<br>Guideway   | Construction                          | Traffic disruptions in City Center segment<br>may result in revised constraints<br>imposed by City or HDOT (lane<br>restrictions and peak time flow<br>restrictions).   |  |                    | 4                   | 4                  | 2                     | 12                      | 12                         |
| 5a                    | 10.04               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway  | Design                                | 30 inch width of walkway may be<br>increased if safety officer will not accept<br>9" gap between train car and walkway.   |  |                    | 2                   | 1                  | 0                     | 1                       | 1                          |
| 5b                    | 10.04               | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway   | Construction                          | 30 inch width of walkway may be<br>increased if safety officer will not accept<br>9" gap between train car and walkway.   |  |                    | 2                   | 1                  | 0                     | 1                       | 1                          |
| 5d                    | 10.04               | Airport Guideway  | Design                                | 30 inch width of walkway may be<br>increased if safety officer will not accept<br>9" gap between train car and walkway.   | Should be resolved by the end year.  | of the             | 2                   | 1                  | 0                     | 1                       |                            |

|               |             | T RISK F                                       |                        |   | Legend   | and the second second    | ow<br>1)             | Med<br>(2)       | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|--|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|------------------|--------------------|
|               |             | : August 20                                    |                        | it Corridor Project   | Probability  |                          |                      | ~50%             | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. 6        |             | : August 20                                    | <b>111</b>             |   | Cost   |                          |                      |                  | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
|               |             | e risks are evaluated                          | both at the Proj       | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   | Schedule   | -                        |                      | <3 Mths          | and the second se | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual                          | ly risks as applic     | cable to each contract.   | Rating   | <                        | =3                   | 3.1-             | 9.49  | >=               | 9.5                |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes a<br>Comments   | nd                       | Probabilit<br>Rating | y Cost<br>Impact |   |                  |                    |
| 5e -          | 10.04       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Design                 | 30 inch width of walkway may be<br>increased if safety officer will not accept<br>9" gap between train car and walkway.   | Should be resolved by the end<br>year.   | d of the                 | 2                    | 1                | 0   | 1                |                    |
| 6             | 20.02       | Project Wide                                   | Requirements           | Station Bathroom design criteria<br>presented to the public is unacceptable<br>and results in additional bathrooms.   |  |                          | 1                    | 3                | 1   | 2                | 2                  |
| 60            | 10.04       | Project Wide                                   | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Differing geotechnical conditions may be<br>encountered and result in schedule<br>delays and additional cost. (General<br>Project Wide geotechnical risk)   |  |                          | 5                    | 5                | 3   | 20               | 20                 |
| 60a           | 10.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Geotechnical conditions actually<br>encountered during final design differ<br>from subsurface conditions baselined in<br>the GBR, which, if material to the design<br>or construction, may results in differing<br>site condition claim(s). | No DSCs have been filed by<br>contractor. Cost impact increa<br>from \$1 to \$3 million to \$3 to<br>million due to review by Geoto<br>Probability reduced back in Ap<br>to progressed and completed<br>which did not result in any<br>inconsistencies or DCS. | \$10<br>ech.<br>pril due | 3                    | 4                | 2   | 9                | 7.5                |
| 60b           | 10.04       | Airport Guideway                               | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Given limited geotechnical information<br>available at this time, additional costs<br>may be incurred associated with final<br>design through construction.   | Finalizing contract for Final De   | signer.                  | 4                    | 5                | 3   | 16               | 16                 |
| 60c           | 10.04       | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Geotech/Early<br>Const | If soil conditions extremely vary from GDR, additional costs may result.  |  |                          | 2                    | 3                | 2   | 5                | 5                  |
| 60d           | 10.04       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Design                 | Geotechnical conditions encountered<br>during construction differ from<br>subsurface conditions baselined during<br>design, results in differing site condition<br>claim(s).  | Start of geotech work is still a months away.  | couple                   | 4                    | 4                | 2   | 12               | 12                 |

| Hono<br>Date<br>Rev. 6<br>Note: Pro<br>what may | lulu l<br>Issue<br>5<br>ject Wid<br>seem as | : August 20<br>e risks are evaluated           | ity Trans<br>11        | ER<br>it Corridor Project<br>ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Legend<br>Probability<br>Cost<br>Schedule<br>Rating | (<br><1<br><\$2<br><1 | ow<br>1)<br>0%<br>250K<br>Mths<br>=3 | Me<br>(2)<br>10><5<br>\$250K<br>1><3 M | )<br>60%<br>><\$1 \$] | ×6 Mths                 | (4)<br>75%<br>\$3M><\$10 | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90%<br>>\$10M<br>>12 Mths<br>0.5 |
|---|---|--|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Current<br>ID                                   | SCC<br>Code                                 | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments                   | nd                    | Proba<br>Rat                         |  | Cost<br>mpact (A      | ) Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |   |
| 60e   | 10.04                                       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Given limited geotechnical information<br>available at this time, additional costs<br>may be incurred associated with final<br>design through construction.                                   |   |                       | 5                                    |  | 5                     | 3                       | 20                       | 20  |
| 61  | 40.02                                       | Project wide                                   | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Cost exposure from unexpected utility<br>replacements. (Ex. underground piping<br>quality may be degraded and require<br>extensive replacement which may not all<br>be offset as betterment). |   |                       | 2                                    |  | 5                     | 2 ·                     | 7                        | 7   |
| 61a   | 40.02                                       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Cost exposure from unexpected utility<br>replacements. (Ex. underground piping<br>quality may be degraded and require<br>extensive replacement which may not all<br>be offset as betterment). |   |                       | 1                                    |  | 3                     | 0                       | 1.5                      | 1.5   |
| 61b   | 40.02                                       | Airport Guideway                               | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Cost exposure from unexpected utility<br>replacements. (Ex. underground piping<br>quality may be degraded and require<br>extensive replacement which may not all<br>be offset as betterment). |   |                       | 2                                    |  | 3                     | 4                       | 7                        | 7   |
| 61c   | 40.02                                       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Requirements           | Cost exposure from unexpected utility<br>replacements. (Ex. underground piping<br>quality may be degraded and require<br>extensive replacement which may not all<br>be offset as betterment). |   |                       | 2                                    |  | 3                     | 2                       | 5                        | 5   |
| 61d   | 40.02                                       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Cost exposure from unexpected utility<br>replacements. (Ex. underground piping<br>quality may be degraded and require<br>extensive replacement which may not all<br>be offset as betterment). |   |                       | 2                                    |  | 3                     | 4                       | 7                        | 7   |
| 62  | 40.02                                       | Project wide                                   | Construction           | Delay to utility easement agreements<br>may delay access for utility relocations<br>and result in Contractor claims.  |   |                       | 3                                    |  | 2                     | 2                       | 6                        | 6   |

|               |             | T RISK R                                       |  |  | Legend   | L (   |                       | Med<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|-------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|               |             |  |  | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | < 10  | 0% 10>                | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%                      | >90%               |
|               |             | : August 20                                    |  |  | Cost   | < \$2 | 50K \$250             | )K><\$1        | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M             |
| Rev. 6        |             |  |  |  | Schedule   | <11   | fths 1>               | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths           |
| what may      | seem as     | e risks are evaluated repetition are actuall   | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <     | =3                    | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=9                      | 0.5                |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes a<br>Comments   | nd    | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 |                    |
| 62a           | 40.02       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Construction                           | Delay to utility easement agreements for<br>WOFH contracts may delay access for<br>utility relocations and result in<br>Contractor claims. | There are currently challenges<br>they are being tackled in orde<br>importance.            |       | 3                     | 2              | 2           | 6                        | 6                  |
| 62b           | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                               | Construction                           | Delay to utility easement agreements<br>may delay access for utility relocations<br>and result in Contractor claims.                       |  |       | 1                     | 1              | 2           | 1.5                      | 1.5                |
| 62c           | 40.02       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Design                                 | Additional utility easements may be required for Military or private utility companies.  |  |       | 5                     | 1              | 0           | 2.5                      | 2.5                |
| 62d           | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction                           | Delay to utility easement agreements for<br>City Center may delay access for utility<br>relocations and result in Contractor<br>claims.    |  |       | 3                     | 1              | 1           | 3                        | 3                  |
| 63            | 40.02       | Project wide                                   | Construction                           | Costs for utility relocations may increase<br>if utility plans have deviations greater<br>than contract stipulation.                       | Small impacts have been iden<br>WOFH and will most likely be<br>in other sections as well. |       | 4                     | 4              | 2           | 12                       | 12                 |
| 63a           | 40.02       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction                           | Costs for utility relocations may increase<br>if utility plans have deviations greater<br>than contract stipulation.                       | Small impacts have been iden<br>WOFH and will most likely be<br>in other sections as well. |       | 4                     | 3              | 1           | 8                        | 8                  |
| 63b           | 40.02       | Airport Guideway                               | Construction                           | Costs for utility relocations may increase<br>if utility plans have deviations greater<br>than contract stipulation.                       |  |       | 2                     | 3              | 3           | 6                        | 6                  |
| 63c           | 40.02       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Requirements                           | Costs for utility relocations may increase<br>if utility plans have deviations greater<br>than contract stipulation.                       |  |       | 3                     | 4              | 2           | 9                        | 9                  |

|               |             | T RISK F                                       |                        | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend   | Lo<br>(1                        | .)                    | (2)            | High<br>(3)               | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|-------------|--|------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|               |             | : August 20                                    |                        | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | <10                             |                       | <50%           | > 50%                     | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. (        |             | August 20                                      |                        |  | Cost   | < \$2                           |                       |                | \$1M><\$3M                | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
|               |             | e risks are evaluated                          | both at the Proj       | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   | Schedule   | <11                             |                       |                |                           | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual                          | ly risks as applic     | able to each contract.   | Rating   | <:                              | =3                    | 3.1-9          | .49 .                     | >=5              | .5                 |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes a<br>Comments   | nd                              | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) |                  |                    |
| 63d           | 40.02       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction           | Costs for utility relocations may increase<br>if utility plans have deviations greater<br>than contract stipulation.   |  |                                 | 2                     | 3              | 3                         | 6                | 6                  |
| 64            | 40.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Requirements           | An injunction resulting from a legal<br>challenge may take place after ROD,<br>which would stop construction and cause<br>delays.  | Lawsuit is still being dealt with<br>Corp Council and their lawyers  |                                 | 2                     | 5              | 5                         | 10               | 10                 |
| 65            | 10.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design                 | Late provision of design information for station structures.   | WOFH designers are proceedi<br>without input from station de   | •                               | 3                     | 3              | 2                         | 7.5              | 7.5                |
| 66            | 10.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction           | City-supplied materials may not be provided as per contract.   |  |                                 | 2                     | 2              | 2                         | 4                | 4                  |
| 67            | 90          | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Market                 | Delay to issue NTP results in claims for<br>additional costs.  | The cost provided in the estim<br>covers the delay until March 2<br>This risk is to cover the delay a<br>March 2011. NTP4 is targeted<br>Sept. 2011. Probability increas<br>90% due to delay being an add<br>6 | 2011.<br>after<br>for<br>sed to | 5                     | 5              | 2                         | 17.5             | 10.5               |
| 68            | 40.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Geotech/Early<br>Const | Extensive rain could, because of<br>potential flooding of the work site, affect<br>construction schedule at the Pearl<br>Highlands Station area.                                     |  |                                 | 2                     | 2              | 1                         | 3                | 3                  |
| 69            | 40.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design                 | Natural drainage at Ho'opili Station may<br>need to be addressed by project if DR<br>Horton development does not do it,<br>which would result in additional costs to<br>the project. |  |                                 | 5                     | 1              | 0                         | 2.5              | 2.5                |

|                       |                     | T RISK R                                       |  |  | Legend   | Low<br>(1) | Med<br>(2)                | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Hono                  | ulu 1               | High-Capaci                                    | ity Trans                              | it Corridor Project  | Probability  | <10%       | 10><50%                   | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
|                       |                     | : August 20                                    | 11                                     |  | Cost   | <\$250K    | \$250K><\$1               | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Rev. (                |                     |  |  |  | Schedule   | <1 Mths    | 1><3 Mths                 | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Proj<br>ly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>able to each contract.   | Rating   | <=3        | 3.1                       | -9.49       | >=!              | 9.5                |
| Current<br>ID         | SCC<br>Code         | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                   | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  |            | ability Co<br>ating Impac |             |                  |                    |
| 7                     | 20.02               | Project Wide                                   | Design                                 | Additional costs may arise through<br>simple stations and guideway<br>integration.   |  |            | 1 2                       | 2           | 2                | 2                  |
| 70                    | 20.02               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design                                 | East Kapolei Station design could change,<br>based on hydraulic and geotech study,<br>and additional costs may be incurred.                              |  |            | 2 3                       | 1           | 4                | 4                  |
| 71                    | 20.02               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Design                                 | Waipahu Station is located in the<br>floodplain and the design has yet to be<br>approved by DPP, which could result in a<br>delay due to redesign.       |  |            | 5 2                       | 1           | 7,5              | 7.5                |
| 72                    | 20.02               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Design                                 | UH West Oahu Station design could<br>change, based on hydraulic and geotech<br>study, and additional costs may be<br>incurred.                           |  |            | 2 3                       | 1           | 4                | 4                  |
| 73                    | 10.04               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway             | Geotech/Early<br>Const                 | Lateral deflection of shafts at top is an<br>added requirement: specified as not to<br>exceed 1 inch under Service I loading<br>combination.             |  |            | 5 2                       | 0           | 5                | 5                  |
| 74                    | 20.02               | Kamehameha<br>Highway Stations                 | Construction                           | With guideway previously constructed at<br>Pearl Highlands Station, constructability<br>issues could arise for Bus Transit Center<br>and Parking Garage. |  |            | 3 2                       | 2           | 6                | 6                  |
| 75                    | 20.02               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Requirements                           | Project may be required to build a 1-mile<br>paved street at Ho'opili Station (final<br>decision to be made by Toru).                                    |  |            | 1 5                       | 0           | 2.5              | 2.5                |
| 76                    | 80.05               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Market                                 | Insurance amount in budget may be<br>insufficient to cover change from OCIP to<br>a CCIP.  | RFC will be submitted to Kiewit<br>full term quote for their self<br>insurance for life of contract. K<br>change order for CCIP coverage<br>through Dec. 2011 is for \$4 mil | iewit's    | 3 4                       | 0           | 6                | 6                  |

|                       |                     | T RISK R                                       | · Sandara at                          |   | Legend   | Low<br>(1)       |                      | (1ed<br>(2)      | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5)   |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|
|                       |                     |  |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability  | <10%             | o 10>                | <50%             | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%                 |
| Date                  | Issue               | : August 20                                    | 11-12-1                               |   | Cost   | < \$250          | K \$250              | K><\$1           | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M               |
| Rev. 6                | 5                   |  |                                       |   | Schedule   | <1 Mt            | 1s 1><               | 3 Mths           | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | ject Wid<br>seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Pro<br>ly risks as applic | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>cable to each contract.  | Rating   | <=3              |                      | 3.1-9            |   | >=5              |                      |
| Current<br>ID         |                     | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | id P             | robability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact ( | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B)   |                  | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| 77                    | 10.04               | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Design                                | Traffic studies at intersection near West<br>Oahu Station may require changes to<br>column locations and result in redesign<br>and additional costs to guideway and<br>station.                           |  |                  | 2                    | 2                | 1   | 3                | 3                    |
| 78                    | 90                  | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction                          | Strike by local labor may cause delays to<br>WOFH Contract.   |  |                  | 1                    | 3                | 2   | 2.5              | 2.5                  |
| 79                    | 10.09               | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Market                                | Delayed NTP of MSF may increase costs<br>associated with rail, building steel<br>fasteners etc. (Substantial completion to<br>be about 6 months later than currently<br>assumed.)                         | NTP1 was given July 25, 2011.  |                  | 2                    | 3                | 0   | 3                | 3                    |
| 7d                    | 20.02               | Airport Guideway                               | Design                                | Additional construction costs may arise<br>through simple stations and guideway<br>integration.   |  | 10<br>10<br>10   | 2                    | 2                | 2   | 4                |                      |
| 8                     | 20.02               | Project Wide                                   | Design                                | Additional costs may arise through<br>complicated stations and guideway<br>integration.   |  | 2                | 2                    | 3                | 3   | 6                | 6                    |
| 80                    | 30.03               | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Start-up                              | Equipment supplied by MSF contract may<br>not meet performance criteria agreed<br>with Core Systems Contractor.   | MSF did meet the specs in thei<br>however CSC could still come b<br>and need additional changes. N<br>must receive approval from CSC<br>before they purchase equipme | oack<br>MSF<br>C | 2                    | 3                | 2   | 5                | 5                    |
| 81                    | 40.02               | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Construction                          | The utility connections required for the MSF facility may be greater than expected and/or the layout of the final facility required by the Core System contractor may impact the Utility scope and costs. |  |                  | 1                    | 1                | 2   | 1.5              | 1.5                  |

Page 42 of 50

|               |           | T RISK F                                       |   |   | Legend  | Lo<br>(1             | Transie of the        | Med<br>(2)     | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4)         | Significan<br>(5)    |
|---------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|               |           | ngn-Capac                                      | ity I rans                                | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | <10                  | )% 10>                | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%                      | >90%                 |
|               |           | : August 20                                    |   |   | Cost  | < \$2                | 50K \$250             | )K><\$1        | \$1M><\$3M  | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| Rev.          |           | Shared a series of                             |   |   | Schedule  | <11                  | fths 1><              | 3 Mths         | 3><6 Mths   | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths             |
| what may      | seem as i | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | l both at the Proj<br>lly risks as applic | ect Wide level and by contract. Therefore, able to each contract.   | Rating  | <=                   | =3                    | 3.1-9          | 9.49        | >=5                      | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID |           | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                      | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | nd                   | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risl<br>Rating |
| 82            | 40.03     | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Geotech/Early<br>Const                    | The Navy may not have cleared all contaminated material from the Navy Drum Site.  | Navy has said that contaminat<br>has,been removed. If it is later<br>that contamination remains, th<br>Project will work with DHHL to<br>resolve.   | found<br>hen the     | 2                     | 2              | 2           | 4                        | 4                    |
| 83            | 60.01     | Right of Way                                   | Requirements                              | Approvals by Navy for the MSF drainage<br>(storm drain) easement that goes<br>through Navy property may take longer<br>than expected and delay construction.                | Navy has all the documentatio is in the process of approving.   | n and                | 1                     | 2              | 0           | 1                        | 1                    |
| 84            | 30.03     | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Design                                    | Reconfiguration of yard and building<br>layout during design results in additional<br>costs to contract.  | The Final Designer will flip the<br>building but the cost impact sh<br>be minimal.  |                      | 4                     | 2              | 0           | 4                        | 4                    |
| 85            | 80.04     | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Requirements                              | Field office space may increase in size over current contract requirements.   | Contractor specs are to supply<br>for 6 employees of City and GE<br>More spaces will be needed. C<br>reduced due to City input whic<br>that any cost over \$250,000 wi<br>denied by the City. | C.<br>ost<br>ch said | 5                     | 1              | 0           | 2.5                      | 5                    |
| 86            | 60.01     | Right of Way                                   | Design                                    | DHHL (Dept. of Hawaiian Home Lands)<br>owns the MSF property and City needs to<br>get right to occupy and construct.  | August 15th is DHHL's Board N   | ruction<br>Will      | 2                     | 2              | 2           | 4                        | 4                    |
| 87            | 40.04     | Right of Way                                   | Construction                              | Inability to obtain property access in a timely manner to undertake further environmental studies delays project.   | Received concurrence from FT/<br>9 and will make an offer by Aug<br>23rd. They will then have 30 da<br>respond. By the end of Sept. w<br>know what is going on.                               | gust<br>ays to       | 2                     | 2              | 2           | 4                        | 4                    |
| 88            | 40.02     | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Requirements                              | Relocation of 10 inch fuel line and 16<br>inch gas line along Kamehameha<br>Highway may be more difficult than<br>expected due to possible time frames for<br>outages, etc. |   |                      | 2                     | 1              | 3           | 4                        | 4                    |

Page 43 of 50

|               |   | T RISK R<br>High-Canac   |                      | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend   | Lo<br>(1                       | ) (                   | fed<br>(2)      | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|---------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|--------------------|
|               |   | : August 20              |                      | il corridor rioject  | Probability  | < 10                           |                       | <50%            | > 50%   | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. (        |   |                          |                      |  | Cost<br>Schedule   | < \$2                          |                       |                 | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M             |
| Note: Pro     | ject Wid  | e risks are evaluated    | both at the Proj     | ject Wide level and by contract, Therefore,<br>able to each contract.  | Rating   | <1 M                           |                       | 3 Mths<br>3.1-9 | 3><6 Mths<br>.49  | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Current<br>ID | The second se | Contract<br>Package      | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | d                              | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact  | (A) Schedule<br>(A) Delay (B  | Risk Rating      | Prior Risk         |
| 89            | 20.02   | Right of Way             | Construction         | Property issues associated with Aloha<br>Stadium Authority could result in scope<br>changes and additional costs.                                    | Have a pre-construction agreen<br>with Aloha Stadium. Currently<br>working to obtain agreement for<br>construction.  |                                | 2                     | 2               | 1   | 3                | 3                  |
| 8d            | 20.02   | Airport Guideway         | Design               | Additional costs may arise through<br>complicated stations and guideway<br>integration.  |  |                                | 2                     | 3               | 3   | 6                |                    |
| 8e            | 20.02   | City Center<br>Guideway  | Design               | Additional costs may arise through<br>complicated stations and guideway<br>integration.  | More complicated stations in Ci<br>Center so probability is higher t<br>other sections.  |                                | 3                     | 4               | 2   | 9                |                    |
| 9             | 20.02   | Project Wide             | Requirements         | Bus shelters may be added to scope and increase project cost.  |  |                                | 5                     | 3               | 0   | 7.5              | 7.5                |
| 91            | 50.01   | Core Systems<br>Contract | Market               | If there is a legal protest to the award<br>of Core Systems it could cause delays to<br>NTP resulting in additional costs and<br>schedule delays.    | NTP was supposed to occur in A<br>2011. Contract hopes to be sign<br>mid -Sept. 2011. Mitigating dela<br>supplying current designers with<br>information that they need from<br>but cannot obtain since contrac<br>not on board. | ied by<br>ays by<br>h<br>n CSC | 5                     | 4               | 3   | 17.5             | 17.5               |
| 92            | 50.07   | Core Systems<br>Contract | Design               | Back-up OCC proposed to be integrated with City Traffic Management Center may be underestimated.   |  |                                | 1                     | 2               | 0   | 1                | 1                  |
| 93            | 40.02   | Core Systems<br>Contract | Design               | Utility costs and scope to provide power<br>to TPSS may be more than estimated.<br>(ex. need to extend a medium voltage<br>transmission line -12 kV) |  |                                | 2                     | 4               | 0   | 4                | 4                  |

|               |             | CT RISK F<br>High-Canac  |                      | ER<br>it Corridor Project  | Legend   | Low<br>(1)      |                      | Med<br>(2)       | High<br>(3)   | Very High<br>(4)         | Significan<br>(5)   |
|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Date          | Issue       | : August 20              | 11                   | n corridor rroject   | Probability  | < 109           |                      | <50%             | > 50%   | 75%                      | >90%                |
| Rev.          |             | . August 20              |                      |  | Cost   | < \$25(         |                      |                  | S1M> <s3m< th=""><th>\$3M&gt;&lt;\$10</th><th>&gt;\$10M</th></s3m<> | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M              |
|               |             | e risks are evaluated    | both at the Pro      | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,  | Schedule   | <1 Mt           |                      |                  |   | 6><12 Mths               | >12 Mths            |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual    | ly risks as applic   | cable to each contract.  | Rating   | < =3            |                      | 3.1-9            | .49   | >=9                      | .5                  |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package      | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments  | nd <sup>I</sup> | robability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact ( | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B)   | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Ris<br>Rating |
| 94            | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract | Construction         | Equipment, structures, etc. supplied by<br>other contractors may not meet criteria<br>required by Core Systems Contractor.<br>(Systems Integration)  |  |                 | 2                    | 3                | 2   | 5                        | 5                   |
| 95            | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract | Construction         | Changes suggested by other contractors<br>may result in change orders with Core<br>Systems.  |  |                 | 3                    | 2                | 2   | 6                        | 6                   |
| 96            | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract | Construction         | Testing/ Demo/ Safety and Security<br>Certification process may be more<br>complicated than assumed.   |  |                 | 2                    | 2                | 2   | 4                        | 4                   |
| 98            | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract | Construction         | Construction sequencing is disrupted by<br>fixed facility performance which causes<br>inefficiencies and additional costs due to<br>remobilization (or even double shifting<br>because there are 2 locations at once). | The longer CSC is delayed the r<br>likely that fixed facilities are av<br>in time. |                 | 2                    | . 3              | 0   | 3                        | 3                   |
| 99            | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract | Construction         | Resource management may be limited<br>during oversight of both operations of<br>specific sections and<br>construction/installation/testing of other<br>sections.   |  |                 | 2                    | 2                | 2   | 4                        | 4                   |
| 9d            | 20.02       | Airport Guideway         | Requirements         | Bus shelters may be added to scope and increase project cost.  |  |                 | 5                    | 2                | 0   | 5                        | 6                   |
| 9e            | 20.02       | City Center<br>Guideway  | Requirements         | Bus shelters may be added to scope and increase project cost.  | More shelters in City Center the other sections so cost is higher                  |                 | 5                    | 3                | 0   | 7.5                      |                     |
| PMOC16        | 10.04       | City Center<br>Guideway  | Design               | Estimates for remaining guideway<br>contracts may be low due to adjustments<br>using pricing from WOFH Bid.  |  |                 | 3                    | 4                | 0   | 6                        | 6                   |

Page 45 of 50

|                       |         | T RISK F                                       |                                       |   | Legend  | Low<br>(1) |                      | Med<br>(2)            | High<br>(3)               | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5)   |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|                       |         |  |                                       | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | < 109      | 6 10>                | <50%                  | > 50%                     | 75%              | >90%                 |
|                       |         | : August 20                                    |                                       |   | Cost  | < \$250    | K \$25               | 0 <b>K&gt;&lt;\$1</b> | \$1M><\$3M                | \$3M><\$10       | >\$10M               |
| Rev.                  |         |  |                                       |   | Schedule  | < 1 Mt     | 1s 1>                | 3 Mths                | 3><6 Mths                 | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths             |
| Note: Pro<br>what may | seem as | e risks are evaluated<br>repetition are actual | both at the Pro<br>ly risks as applie | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>cable to each contract.  | Rating  | <=3        |                      | 3.1-9                 | .49                       | >=9              | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID         |         | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category                  | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments   | d I        | robability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact (      | (A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) |                  | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| PMOC35                | 10.04   | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction                          | Underground obstruction delays pier/bent installation   |   |            | 1                    | 4                     | 2                         | 3                | 3                    |
| PMOC8e                | 10.04   | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Construction                          | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally   |   | -          | 2                    | 1                     | 3                         | 4                | 4                    |
| PMOC36                | 30.01   | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Construction                          | CSC could dictate changes to the<br>Administration Building to accommodate<br>its latest projections of staff needs.  |   |            | 2                    | 2                     | 1                         | 3                | 3                    |
| PMOC11                | 60.01   | Right of Way                                   | Construction                          | Real Estate market could rebound before<br>purchase of all needed properties,<br>greatly increasing cost of property and<br>delaying construction if legal actions are<br>pursued.    |   |            | 2                    | 5                     | 0                         | 5                | 5                    |
| PMOC50                | 50.01   | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Requirements                          | Location of manholes, duct banks and<br>conduits may require changes once<br>systems design is finalized. (If installation<br>of system wide duct banks is in the Civil<br>packages.) |   |            | 2                    | 4                     | 1                         | 5                | 5                    |
| PMOC4                 | 20.02   | Project Wide<br>Stations                       | Construction                          | Community pressure or transit-oriented development causes need for additional infill stations.  |   |            | 1                    | 5                     | 4                         | 4.5              | 4.5                  |
| PMOC32                | 70.01   | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Design                                | Current layout in MSF for inspection pit<br>design may not be conformed with<br>selected vehicles.  | MSF has looked at selected veh<br>by CSC and there does not seen<br>much impact to the current laye | n to be    | 3                    | 1                     | 1                         | 3                | 3                    |
| PMOC51                | 50.02   | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Design                                | CSC electrical sub has limited transit<br>systems construction experience. Likely<br>cost & schedule impact. Will require<br>more extensive monitoring by RTD.                        |   |            | 2                    | 1                     | 1                         | 2                | 2                    |

Page 46 of 50

|               |             | CT RISK R                                     |                      |   | Legend  | Low<br>(1)  | Med<br>(2) |                 | High<br>(3)           | Very High<br>(4)         | Significant<br>(5)   |
|---------------|-------------|---|----------------------|---|---|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|               |             | : August 20                                   |                      | it Corridor Project   | Probability   | <10%        | 10><50%    | -               | > 50%                 | 75%                      | >90%                 |
|               |             | : August 20                                   |                      |   | Cost  | < S250K     | \$250K><   | S1 S1           | M><\$3M               | \$3M><\$10               | >\$10M               |
| Rev. (        |             | la riske are evolueted                        | both of the Deel     | ject Wide level and by contract. Therefore,   | Schedule  | <1 Mths     | 1><3 Mt    | 15 32           | <6 Mths               | 6><12 Mths               | > 12 Mths            |
| what may      | seem as     | repetition are actual                         | ly risks as applic   | eet wide level and by contract. Therefore,  | Rating  | <=3         | 3          | .1-9.49         | 9                     | >=9                      | .5                   |
| Current<br>ID | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                           | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes and<br>Comments  |             |            | Cost<br>act (A) | Schedule<br>Delay (B) | Risk Rating<br>%x(A+B)/2 | Prior Risk<br>Rating |
| PMOC6         | 30.04       | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract | Design               | Maintenance of Way (MOW) employees,<br>once hired, may make requests for<br>changes to MOW facility.  |   |             | 1          | 2               | 2                     | 2                        | 2                    |
| PMOC17        | 90          | Project wide                                  | Market               | Project Labor Agreement does not cover<br>utility companies. Schedule could be<br>impacted if they experience labor<br>dispute.   |   |             | 2          | 3               | 2                     | 5                        | 5                    |
| PMOC7         | 80.04       | Core Systems<br>Contract                      | Requirements         | The outlined interface management plan<br>(IMP) must function comprehensively<br>and correctly. CSC proposal recognizes<br>the importance of this process and lists it<br>as a critical success factor. May require<br>more staffing. |   |             | 2          | 3               | 1                     | 4                        | 4                    |
| PMOC52        | 70.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract                      | Construction         | Vehicle delivery may be delayed, as has<br>been experienced in prior transit<br>projects.   | Project is at least 3 years out fro needing a vehicle.  | vm          | 2          | 1               | 3                     | 4                        | 4                    |
| PMOC20        | 80.08       | Core Systems<br>Contract                      | Construction         | Additional costs and delays may result<br>due to the possible need for progressive<br>changes to the design to accommodate<br>staged working, along with operational<br>and non-operational transitions.                              |   |             | 2          | 2               | 2                     | 4                        | 4                    |
| PMOC8c        | 10.04       | Airport Guideway                              | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally   |   |             | 2          | 1               | 3                     | 4                        | 4                    |
| PMOC44        | 30.03       | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract | Design               | Schedule of coordination of yard and shop space versus vehicle delivery and acquisition of real estate.   | ROW is currently working on<br>agreement. ROW access for<br>construction will not become crit<br>until November since they alread<br>have the ability to access for test<br>and design. | tical<br>dy | 1          | 2               | 2                     | 2                        | 2                    |

| PROJECT RISK REGISTER<br>Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project   |             |  |                      |  | Legend                            | Lo <sup>.</sup><br>(1 | )                     | (2)            | High<br>(3) | Very High<br>(4) | Significant<br>(5) |
|--|-------------|--|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
|  |             | : August 20                                    |                      | n corridor rroject   | Probability                       | < 10                  |                       | <50%           | > 50%       | 75%              | >90%               |
| Rev. 6   |             | . August 20                                    |                      |  | Cost                              |                       |                       |                | \$1M><\$3M  |                  | >\$10M             |
| Note: Pro  | iect Wid    | le risks are evaluated                         | both at the Pro      | icct Wide level and by contract. Therefore   | Schedule                          | <1 M                  |                       |                |             | 6><12 Mths       | >12 Mths           |
| Note: Project Wide risks are evaluated both at the Project Wide level and by contract. Therefore, what may seem as repetition are actually risks as applicable to each contract. |             |  |                      |  | Rating                            | < =                   | 3                     | 3.1-9.49       |             | >=5              | 5                  |
| Current<br>ID  | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments | d                     | Probability<br>Rating | Cost<br>Impact |             |                  |                    |
| PMOC8d   | 10.04       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally  |                                   |                       | 2                     | 1              | 3           | 4                | 4                  |
| PMOC8f   | 10.04       | Maintenance &<br>Storage Facility<br>Contract  | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally.   |                                   |                       | 2                     | 1              | 1           | 2                | 2                  |
| PMOC12   | 20.02       | Project Wide<br>Stations                       | Construction         | Separate procurement and installation of<br>conveyance devices may create<br>coordination problems in field resulting<br>in schedule impact. |                                   |                       | 1                     | 1              | 1           | 1                | 1                  |
| PMOC13   | 20.02       | Project Wide<br>Stations                       | Requirements         | Costs are not allocated in station cost estimates for Art Program.   |                                   |                       | 5                     | 4              | 0           | 10               | 10                 |
| PMOC2c   | 10.09       | Airport Guideway                               | Construction         | Lower than expected production rate for track construction.  |                                   |                       | 1                     | 2              | 2           | 2                | 2                  |
| PMOC2d   | 10.09       | City Center<br>Guideway                        | Construction         | Lower than expected production rate for track construction.  |                                   |                       | 1                     | 2              | 2           | 2                | 2                  |
| PMOC2a   | 10.09       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction         | Lower than expected production rate for track construction delays interim opening.   |                                   |                       | 1                     | 2              | 2           | 2                | 2                  |
| PMOC2b   | 10.09       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Construction         | Lower than expected production rate for track construction.  |                                   |                       | 1                     | 2              | 2           | 2                | 2                  |

| PROJECT RISK REGISTER<br>Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project<br>Date Issue: August 2011  |             |  |                      |  | Legend                            | Low<br>(1) | Med<br>(2)        |                  | High<br>(3)              | (4)<br>75% | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90% |
|--|-------------|--|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
|  |             |  |                      |  | Probability                       |            |                   | <50%             | > 50%                    |            |                            |
| Rev. (   |             | -  | alm in               |  | Cost                              |            |                   |                  | \$1M><\$3M               | \$3M><\$10 | >\$10M<br>> 12 Mths        |
| Note: Pro  | iect Wid    | e risks are evaluated                          | both at the Pro      | iect Wide level and by contract. Therefore   | Schedule                          | <1 Mths    | 1 ><3 Mths        |                  |                          | 6><12 Mths |                            |
| Note: Project Wide risks are evaluated both at the Project Wide level and by contract. Therefore, what may seem as repetition are actually risks as applicable to each contract. |             |  |                      |  | Rating                            | <=3        | 3.1-9             |                  | 49                       | >=\$       | 5                          |
| Current<br>ID  | SCC<br>Code | Contract<br>Package                            | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description   | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments |            | bability<br>ating | Cost<br>Impact ( | A) Schedule<br>Delay (B) |            | Prior Risk<br>Rating       |
| PMOC8a   | 10.04       | West<br>Oahu/Farrington<br>Highway<br>Guideway | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally  |                                   | 2          |                   | 1                | 3                        | 4          | 4                          |
| PMOC26   | 20.02       | Project Wide<br>Stations                       | Design               | Consideration of design changes to<br>reduce station length and platform width<br>may impact guideway structure design /<br>construction.  |                                   |            |                   | 2                | 2                        | 2          | 2                          |
| PMOC31   |             | Project Wide                                   | Requirements         | Elevators and escalators are a separate<br>contract which may result in<br>coordination issues with other contracts<br>and cause delays.   |                                   |            | 2                 | 2                | 2                        | 4          | 4                          |
| PMOC8b   | 10.04       | Kamehameha<br>Highway<br>Guideway              | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally  |                                   |            | 2                 | 1                | 1                        | 2          | 2                          |
| PMOC22   | 50.01       | Core Systems<br>Contract                       | Market               | Damage may occur to parts during long<br>haul shipping and delay openings.   |                                   |            | 1                 | 0                | 3                        | 1.5        | 1.5                        |
| PMOC30   | 80.03       | Project wide                                   | Requirements         | Grantee has not awarded contracts for<br>the Cultural Resources (Kako'o) and a Job<br>Order Contractor for Misc Construction<br>Work. The Contract Packaging Plan<br>states this work will be funded with<br>contingency but needs to be part of<br>contract packaging plan. |                                   |            | 2                 | 3                | 3                        | 6          | 6                          |
| PMOC8  | 10.04       | Project wide                                   | Construction         | Breakdown of specialty<br>equipment/replacements not available<br>locally  |                                   |            | 2                 | 1                | 3                        | 4          | 4                          |

| PROJECT RISK REGISTER<br>Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project<br>Date Issue: August 2011<br>Rev. 6<br>Note: Project Wide risks are evaluated both at the Project Wide level and by contract. Therefore,<br>what may seem as repetition are actually risks as applicable to each contract. |       |                          |                      |   | Legend<br>Probability<br>Cost     | Low<br>(1)<br><10%<br><\$250K<br><1 Mths<br><=3 |              | Med<br>(2)<br>10><50%<br>\$250K><\$1<br>1><3 Mths<br>3.1- |                  | High<br>(3)<br>> 50%<br>\$1M><\$3M  | (4)<br>75%<br>1 \$3M><\$10 | Significant<br>(5)<br>>90%<br>>\$10M<br>>12 Mths |
|--|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|---|------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
|  |       |                          |                      |   | Schedule<br>Rating                |   |              |   |                  | and the second se |                            |  |
| Current<br>ID  |       | Contract<br>Package      | FTA Risk<br>Category | Risk Description  | Most Current Notes an<br>Comments | ıd  | Proba<br>Rat | bility<br>ting  | Cost<br>Impact ( | Schedule  | Risk Rating                | Prior Risk                                       |
| PMOC19   | 50.05 | Core Systems<br>Contract | Design               | Managing technology advances in sub-<br>system components throughout the<br>eight-year construction and 10-year<br>O&M program will be difficult. |                                   |   | 2            |   | 1                | 1   | 2                          | 2  |
| PMOC5  | 20.02 | Project Wide<br>Stations | Design               | Comprehensive station design reveals<br>need for increased number or size of<br>guideway piers in station areas.                                  |                                   |   | 1            |   | 5                | 1   | 3                          | 3  |
| PMOC2  | 10.09 | Project wide             | Construction         | Lower than expected production rate for track construction.   |                                   |   | 1            |   | 2                | 2   | 2                          | 2  |